

Candidate: Assessment: Completed: Prepared for: Betty Penske Account Manager July 27, 2024 Susan Bookman HR Avatar Data Collection Account

Test Results and Interview Guide

The Account Manager assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.



Overall

Score			Interpretation					
88	0	20	40	60	80	100		
	0	20		00	55	100		
	Кеу	1						
or questionable		Hig Lo	gher Risk wer Risk		ional)			
	88 or questionable	° Key	or questionable	o 20 40 Key Candidate S Higher Risk Lower Risk	o 20 40 60 Key V Candidate Score Higher Risk Lower Risk	0 20 40 60 80		

Competency Summary

Competency	Score	Interpretation					
gnitive Abilities (relates to job performance, problem-solving,	ability to learn, etc.)						
Analytical Thinking	85						
		0	20	40	60	80	100
Attention to Detail	72	0	20	40	60	80	100
Multitasking	87	0	20	40	00		100
and the second	07	0	20	40	60	80	100
ills/Knowledge (relates to immediate readiness)							
Customer Service Fundamentals	97						
Aluitin	0.0	0	20	40	60	80	100
Nriting	88	0	20	40	60	80	100
rsonality Characteristics (relates to fit with the job/team envir	onment)						
Adaptable	73						
		0	20	40	60	80	100
Competitive	91	0	20	40	60	80	100
Corporate Citizenship	10	0	20	40	60	80	100
corporate entitenship	10	0	20	40	60	80	100
Develops Relationships	93						T
		0	20	40	60	80	100
Enjoys Problem-Solving	77						
xhibits a Positive Work Attitude	95	0	20	40	60	80	100
conditional positive work Attitude	95	0	20	40	60	80	100
expressive and Outgoing	71						
		0	20	40	60	80	100
nnovative and Creative	74						
la a da Chuyatuwa	75	0	20	40	60	80	100
Needs Structure	75	0	20	40	60	80	100
Seeks Perfection	84					T	
	- •	0	20	40	60	80	100
havioral History (relates to performance and turnover)						-	
History Survey - Performance	77						

History Survey - Tenure	87						
		0	20	40	60	80	100
Emotional Intelligence (relates to situational judgment,	performance and teamwork)						
Emotional Self-Awareness	67				T		
		0	20	40	60	80	100
Emotional Self-Control	64				T		
		0	20	40	60	80	100
Empathy	95						
		0	20	40	60	80	100

Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.

Test-Taker Group	Percentile	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Global	88th											
United States	73rd										i I I	
HR Avatar Data	81st											



Assessment Overview

This assessment provides scores for a number of important factors and competencies that are related to success on the job. Scores are presented based on their potential impact on job performance.

Scores are presented individually on a scale of 0-100. In most cases, including the overall score, higher scores represent higher expected job performance. However, for some competencies, either extreme low or extreme high scores indicate a risk of lower performance. Refer to the interpretation section of each competency for additional information.

Individual competency scores are also combined into a single overall score. Please note that individual competencies are weighted differently, depending on their type, and on fine adjustments based on data from the US Government's Occupational Data Network (O*Net).

Each competency measured includes one or more suggested interview questions, in an easy-to-use format. These questions should be used for additional probing, especially when the score shows an area of relative weakness.

Some of the competencies measured evaluate preferences for doing (or not doing) specific activities. Scores for these competencies can be used to evaluate job-fit.


We wish to emphasize that the data contained in this report should be used as part of a comprehensive process for evaluating job candidates. Additional data should include in-person interviews, job tryouts, resume review, and background checks.

Detail

Candidate:	Betty Penske, bettypenske@yourcompany.org
Assessment:	Account Manager
Authorized:	July 27, 2024, by Susan Bookman, HR Avatar Data Collection Account, sue.bookman@richardson.biz
Started:	July 27, 2024, 6:01:20AM EST
Completed:	July 27, 2024, 6:01:20AM EST
Overall Score:	88

Cognitive Abilities Detail

This section contains a list of job-related cognitive abilities that have been evaluated in a job-like context using simulation technology. Studies have demonstrated that cognitive abilities are highly correlated with job performance for many jobs. Abilities also correlate with problem-solving and the ability to learn quickly.




etail	Interview Guide				
Attention to Detail					
Score: 72		c			
			time you discovered an er or someone you were wo		
0 20 40 60 80 100	do? What was the d			, KIIIS	with. What did you
Description:			Α		Α
This scale represents thoroughness, accuracy,	T.	V	T	W	T3
and being concerned for all areas involved no	1	2	3	4	5
matter how insignificant. Individuals who demonstrate high Attention to Detail produce	Unclear or careless		Moderately clear		Very detailed. Concern for all
work products that are consistently accurate and	example. Can't describe what was		example. Some concern for details. Direct but		relevant
require little checking. They rarely forget schedule commitments or overlook even the	overlooked. No		passive action.		components. Clear,
smallest details.	action.				proactive actions.
Interpretation:					
Strong scores in this area correlate with above					
average performance for many jobs.					
Usually able to achieve a high degree of					
thoroughness and accuracy in a work task.					
Concerned for most areas involved. Requires					
only occasional oversight and checking.					
Multitasking					
Score: 87	Tell me about a tim	e whe	en you had a large number	of th	ings going on at the
			ed to quickly shift your at		
0 20 40 60 80 100	A	A.	A	^	A
Description:	TT .	T	T	V	T
The ability to rapidly shift focus mentally from	1	2	3	4	5
one task or issue to another while maintaining quality and attention to detail.	Unable to shift		Some ability to juggle		Managed multiple
Interpretation:	focus effectively. Became frustrated		between activities.		independent activities effectively
High scores in this area correlate with superior	or had to slow				
	down.				
performance for many jobs.					
performance for many jobs. Exhibits a high capacity to change between areas of mental focus without sacrificing					

Knowledge and Skills Detail

This section contains a list of job-related knowledge areas and skills that have been evaluated. Low scores in these areas often indicate that additional learning may be required before top performance can be achieved.

Continued on next page.




Personality Characteristics Detail


This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Continued on next page.






















Behavioral History Detail

This section evaluates answers the candidate gave concerning his or her work-related history. Studies often show that a candidate''s past behavior often indicates his or her future behavior. Potential caution areas (if any) are specified in each detail section.


etail	Interview Guid	e			
History Survey - Performance Score: 77	How does you less? How do y	r work compare /ou know?	with your peers	? Do you produ	ce more or
	~				
0 20 40 60 80 100 Description:	X	24	24	24	2
Evaluates elements of the candidate's past work and education history to identify indications of high or low performance potential.	1	2	3	4	5
Interpretation:	What kind of f	eedback have yo	ou received abou	ut your perform	ance from you
The candidate's score indicates past behaviors	managers and	your peers?			
that contribute to above average job performance.	5	5	5	5	57
performance	1	2	3	4	5
Exhibits past behaviors and achievements that are likely to result in above average job performance.	Ţ	L	5	7	5
The following potential performance risk areas were identified:					
 Below average productivity history Below average performance reviews Further probing is recommended for each of these items. 					
History Survey - Tenure Score: 87	Review your la what attracted	ist few jobs with I you to the new	me, explaining	why you left the	e old job and
0 20 40 60 80 100	~	~			~
Description:	X	X	X		7
Evaluates a candidate's past employment history and related factors for indications of potentially low job tenure.	1	2	3	4	5
Interpretation:					
The candidate's score indicates past behaviors that contribute to high job performance.	What is the lor you do during	ngest distance yo the commute? H	ou have had to d low long did yo	commute to wor u keep that job?	rk? What did
Exhibits behaviors likely to result in longer than	\$			\$	2
average job tenure.	1	2	3	4	5
The following potential performance risk areas were identified:					
Frequent job changesPotential long commute					

Emotional Intelligence Detail

This section contains a list of emotional intelligence characteristics that indicate how tuned in a candidate is to his or her own emotions, and those of others, as well as the candidate's ability to control his or her behavior in light of the emotions he or she is experiencing. These traits can often impact performance in groups or teams. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Continued on next page.





on long-term goals, and to interact with others in a way that helps build lasting relationships.

etail	Interview Guide			
Empathy Score: 95	Are you good at rela	s helped 2 S H E a	the feelings of others? d you navigate a difficul a 3 Some ability to sense how others feel. Example shows some ability to use senses at work.	

Writing Sample(s)

During the assessment, the candidate was asked to write one or more passages. The text they wrote is included in the table below for review.

Writing Sample - Question	Response
Please write an essay describing the keys to creative writing.	This is a sample essay. In a real test situation, the candidate or test taker would write an essay as a part of their assessment, in response to the question associated with this entry. All reports will share their writing as received. In some cases, our artificial intelligence engine will process their response to create a numerical score. Our system also checks for plagiarism, both among previously submitted essays, and the broader Internet. Additionally, spelling, grammar, and style checks are performed.
	Essay typically are from 150 to 600 words. They can be written in response to an explicit question, or they can be free-form responses to general questions.



Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

Photo Analysis Results	
- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies
- Percent match among processed faces	100%
- Total images processed	17
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)
- Total pairs of faces compared	13
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)






Pre/Post-Test Photo

ID Photo







In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



Pre/Post-Test Photo

Minimum Qualification Guidelines - from O*Net

The following are suggestions from O*Net, the United States government's occupational information network, regarding prerequisites for this job type.

Item	
Educational Achievement	High School
Job-Related Training	Less than 6 Months
Job-Related Experience	Less Than 1 Year

Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a report to the current values by clicking on 'Recalculate Percentiles' within the online results viewing pages at www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- This assessment makes use of data from the Occupational Information Network (O*NET), which is funded by the U.S.
 Federal Government U.S. Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration (USDOL/ETA) as a primary source of occupational information. The O*NET database contains information on hundreds of standardized and occupation-specific descriptors that are continually updated by ongoing research. These data are used in preparing descriptive information as well as setting relative weights between competencies used in calculating the overall score. For additional information about O*NET, visit http://www.onetcenter.org.
- O*Net Standard Occupational Code (SOC) Used: 43-4051.00
- O*Net Version: 26.3
- Sim ID: 534-25, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 13, Prd: 316, Created: 2024-07-27 11:01 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko

Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)
Adaptable	73.9001	Z-Statistic	0.5933	2.6803
Analytical Thinking	85.1946	Z-Statistic	1.3463	8.0183
Attention to Detail	72.3451	Z-Statistic	0.4897	9.7490
Competitive	91.5290	Z-Statistic	1.7686	2.2849
Corporate Citizenship	10.0000	Z-Statistic	-3.6667	2.8409
Customer Service Fundamentals	97.2499	Z-Statistic	2.1500	15.9473
Develops Relationships	93.1001	Z-Statistic	1.8733	2.0970
Emotional Self- Awareness	67.3987	Z-Statistic	0.1599	2.5624
Emotional Self-Control	64.5783	Z-Statistic	-0.0281	2.5624
Empathy	95.6029	Z-Statistic	2.0402	2.0634
Enjoys Problem-Solving	77.0316	Z-Statistic	0.8021	2.0756
Exhibits a Positive Work Attitude	95.0650	Z-Statistic	2.0043	2.8155
Expressive and Outgoing	71.4655	Z-Statistic	0.4310	1.9623
History Survey - Performance	77.4818	Z-Statistic	0.8321	7.9736
History Survey - Tenure	87.2271	Z-Statistic	1.4818	7.9736
Innovative and Creative	74.8066	Z-Statistic	0.6538	1.6047
Multitasking	87.6651	Z-Statistic	1.5110	6.7485
Needs Structure	75.4489	Z-Statistic	0.6966	2.7908
Seeks Perfection	84.5486	Z-Statistic	1.3032	2.7908
Writing	88.6089	Z-Statistic	1.5739	12.4588
Weighted Average of Cor	npetency Z-Scores:			1.1659
Mean applied to Raw We	eighted Avg:			0.1539
Standard Deviation appli	ed to Raw Weighted Avg:			0.6404
Normalized Raw Score:				1.5803
Mean:				65.0000
Standard Deviation Used	:			15.0000

Final Overall Score:

88.7051



Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)