

Candidate: Betty Penske

Assessment: Recreation Worker (Spanish)


Completed: March 28, 2023 Prepared for: Susan Bookman

HR Avatar Data Collection Account


Test Results and Interview Guide

The Recreation Worker (Spanish) assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.

Overall



Competency Summary





Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.

Test-Taker Group	Percentile	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Global	82nd											
United States	67th									i	i	
HR Avatar Data	75th									1		



Assessment Overview

This assessment provides scores for a number of important factors and competencies that are related to success on the job. Scores are presented based on their potential impact on job performance.

Scores are presented individually on a scale of 0-100. In most cases, including the overall score, higher scores represent higher expected job performance. However, for some competencies, either extreme low or extreme high scores indicate a risk of lower performance. Refer to the interpretation section of each competency for additional information.

Individual competency scores are also combined into a single overall score. Please note that individual competencies are weighted differently, depending on their type, and on fine adjustments based on data from the US Government's Occupational Data Network (O*Net).

Each competency measured includes one or more suggested interview questions, in an easy-to-use format. These questions should be used for additional probing, especially when the score shows an area of relative weakness.

Some of the competencies measured evaluate preferences for doing (or not doing) specific activities. Scores for these competencies can be used to evaluate job-fit.

We wish to emphasize that the data contained in this report should be used as part of a comprehensive process for evaluating job candidates. Additional data should include in-person interviews, job tryouts, resume review, and background checks.

Detail

Candidate: Betty Penske, bettypenske@yourcompany.org

Assessment: Recreation Worker (Spanish)


Authorized: March 28, 2023, by Susan Bookman, HR Avatar Data Collection Account, sue.bookman@richardson.biz

Started: March 28, 2023 at 7:49:55 AM EST Completed: March 28, 2023 at 7:49:55 AM EST

Overall Score: 82

Cognitive Abilities Detail

This section contains a list of job-related cognitive abilities that have been evaluated in a job-like context using HR Avatar's simulation technology. Studies have demonstrated that cognitive abilities are highly correlated with job performance for many jobs. Abilities also correlate with problem-solving and the ability to learn quickly.






Detail **Interview Guide Analytical Thinking** Score: 85 Tell me about a complex problem, situation, or planning task you had to deal with. What were the challenges, and how did you overcome them? 20 W Description: This scale indicates the capacity to think in a 3 1 5 thoughtful, discerning way, to solve problems, Example lacks Example is moderately Example shows utilize resources, and analyze data. Individuals complexity. Data complex. Shows some complexity. who demonstrate high amounts of analytical seeking is limited, analytical thinking and Thorough thinking are able to recognize patterns rapidly, analysis may be problem solving. Actions investigation of all navigate through problems, and resolve difficult lacking, actions have mixed or limited areas that might problems systematically. unclear, not effectiveness. affect the decision. relevant, or Actions are clear, Interpretation: ineffective. relevant, and High scores in this area correlate with superior effective. performance for many jobs. Able to think in a thoughtful, discerning way. Can often solve difficult problems, plan manyfeatured tasks and projects, organize multiple resources, and analyze complex data. Able to quickly recall and use information when needed or appropriate.

Personality Characteristics Detail

This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.





Detail **Interview Guide Seeks Perfection** Score: 69 Can you describe a time when you were trying to finish a project or task but your boss made you stop before you felt it was ready? 20 Description: This scale indicates a person's desire for 5 1 3 accuracy. People with high perfection scores are Frequently cut Sometimes cut short but Rarely cut off committed to meeting or exceeding standards short by boss not often. because has a good for quality and take pride in the accuracy of their because standards sense of what is work. People with too little perfectionism may are too high. good enough. be sloppy and unconcerned with quality. Interpretation: The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance. Seeks the highest possible quality in almost every task. Willing to put in extra effort or resources to ensure a task is done correctly. Takes pride in producing work that is virtually perfect every time. **Corporate Citizenship** Score: 10 How do you feel about being part of an organization? Do you think most organizations have their employees' best interests at heart or do you have to always watch out for yourself? 20 40 60 Description: W This scale indicates the degree to which an individual's behavior embraces the spirit of an 1 3 5 organization's mission, objectives, and strategy. Supports organization Distrusts **Embraces** High scorers project an attitude characterized by organizational organizational but is wary of being cooperation, trust, and openness. Low scorers motives. Feels the taken advantage of. membership. often question the motives behind decisions. need to look out Believes in They may withhold information, display hostility, for self. organizational be defensive, or do just enough to get by. mission. Interpretation: The candidate's score in this area indicates risk of a negative impact on performance for some jobs.

Additional probing is strongly recommended.

Distrusts the organization and management. Frequently assumes new ideas or changes will have a negative individual impact. Can be defensive regarding his or her own work, or show hostility towards management or company policies.



Detail

Competitive

Score: 78



Description:

This scale indicates the degree to which an individual is driven by a desire to impress their leaders and exceed their peers. Being competitive can either be damaging or useful, depending on the job. Competitive people spend much of their time thinking about themselves and the impact decisions may have on them, and their actions are often guided by these thoughts, which can either provide the drive needed to achieve a goal, or can damage the ability of a team to work together. In general, high scorers perform well in sales and related jobs.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should

Motivated by challenging goals, financial rewared, and/or recognition, and willing to work hard to succeed. Focused on personal achievement.

Interview Guide

Describe a time when you had to place accomplishing your objectives above supporting your team. Why do you think it was justified?



team.





3 Shows remorse and feels action was a mistake.



5 Clearly justified or was forced to do so by superiors. Strongly regrets and wishes could change.

contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Develops Relationships

Score: 92



Description:

This scale indicates a person's desire to cultivate relationships. High scorers seek opportunities to meet new people and get to know them well enough to form a lasting relationship. Low scorers tend to minimize interacting with people they don't know.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Actively cultivates and maintains relationships. Able to quickly establish rapport with new acquaintances and accurately sense others' feelings. Maintains a broad social network and uses it to achieve work objectives.

Can you describe a time when you had to choose between getting the job done or preserving a relationship with a friend or co-worker?



Places relationship

objectives in all or


above the work

most cases.














W



Enjoys Problem-Solving

Score: 87

Description:

Detail



This scales represents a person's willingness to deal with complicated problems on a frequent or recurring basis. People with high scores prefer jobs that require mental challenge. Individuals with low scores may be intimidated when faced with complex or even simple issues on a regular basis. When jobs are routine or repetitive, people with low scores are usually a better fit.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Likes to analyze and formulate solutions to problems as a part of his or her daily work. Confidently accepts mental challenges. Optimistic that most problems can be resolved with effort and application.

Interview Guide

Describe some of the biggest on-the-job problems you have faced. How did you overcome them? How did you know they were solved?



Problems poorly

described and

actions taken

unclear.









Moderately complex problems. Simple or obvious actions taken. Described one or more complex problems. Actions taken are clear and relevant.

Exhibits a Positive Work Attitude

Score: 71



Description:

For some people, work is a second-place activity. That is, given a decision to take either personal time or go to work, low scorers will choose time off. Low job priority could indicate a 9 to 5 mentality.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Usually expects to receive both financial and personal rewards in exchange for solid and consistent effort on the job. Enjoys most work activities and is willing to put in extra effort when warranted or requested.

How do you feel having a regular job and going to work? Is it something you enjoy? Why or why not?



Views work as a

only. Does not

care about

professional

reputation.

enjoy. Does not

means of income










5 Considers work a


Likes work but doesn't truly enjoy it. Balances key priority in life. priority and energy with Enjoys working and other obligations. always applies best energy. Takes pride in work reputation.





Behavioral History Detail


This section evaluates answers the candidate gave concerning his or her work-related history. Studies often show that a candidate's past behavior often indicates his or her future behavior. Potential caution areas (if any) are specified in each detail section.





Emotional Intelligence Detail

This section contains a list of emotional intelligence characteristics that indicate how tuned in a candidate is to his or her own emotions, and those of others, as well as the candidate's ability to control his or her behavior in light of the emotions he or she is experiencing. These traits can often impact performance in groups or teams. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.



lasting relationships.



Detail Empathy Score: 94 0 20 40 60 80 100

Description:

The ability to sense and understand other people's feelings, feel sympathy for others, and see things from other people's point of view.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Demonstrates exceptional strengths in sensing the emotional needs of others, sympathizing with other people's problems, and seeing things from other people's point of view. Likely to be very effective at demonstrating to customers or coworkers that they understand and care about them, resulting in significantly improved customer loyalty, much stronger work relationships, and noticeably reduced levels of conflict in the workplace.

Interview Guide

Are you good at relating to the feelings of others? Can you give me an example of how this helped you navigate a difficult situation at work or at school?



Not able to sense

Unable to provide

how others feel.

example.

2



Some ability to sense how others feel. Example shows some ability to use senses at

work.



5

Able to relate to others and sense how they feel. Example shows can easily apply senses at work.



Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

Photo Analysis Results	
- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies
- Percent match among processed faces	100%
- Total images processed	17
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)
- Total pairs of faces compared	13
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)









Pre/Post-Test Photo

ID Photo

In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)

In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)







In-Test Photo







In-Test Photo







Pre/Post-Test Photo



Top Job Title Matches

The candidate also completed an interest/experience survey. The results from this survey were used in conjunction with the competency scores in this assessment to generate a report of jobs that best match this candidate's unique blend of abilities, interests, education, and experience. The results are summarized in the table below. These results were used to prepare a separate report that was sent to the candidate.

Rank	Job Title	Interests Match	Competencies Match	Education and Experience Match	Overall Match
1	Recreational Therapist	72%	86%	99%	Strong
2	Social and Community Service Manager	69%	83%	71%	Medium
3	Rehabilitation Counselor	69%	83%	72%	Medium
4	Training and Development Manager	67%	86%	72%	Medium
5	Instructional Coordinator	67%	83%	72%	Medium
6	Residential Advisor	69%	88%	58%	Medium
7	Social and Human Service Assistant	69%	86%	59%	Medium
8	Fitness and Wellness Coordinator	68%	82%	69%	Medium

Minimum Qualification Guidelines - from O*Net

The following are suggestions from O*Net, the United States government's occupational information network, regarding prerequisites for this job type.

Item	
Educational Achievement	Bachelor's Degree
Job-Related Training	Less than 6 Months
Job-Related Experience	Less Than 1 Year



Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the
 assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a
 report to the current values by clicking on "Recalculate Percentiles" within the online results viewing pages at
 www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- This assessment makes use of data from the Occupational Information Network (O*NET), which is funded by the U.S. Federal Government U.S. Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration (USDOL/ETA) as a primary source of occupational information. The O*NET database contains information on hundreds of standardized and occupation-specific descriptors that are continually updated by ongoing research. These data are used in preparing descriptive information as well as setting relative weights between competencies used in calculating the overall score. For additional information about O*NET, visit http://www.onetcenter.org.
- O*Net Standard Occupational Code (SOC) Used: 39-9032.00
- O*Net Version: 25.1
- Sim ID: 968-4, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 13, Prd: 430, Created: 2023-03-28 12:49 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko



Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)
Adaptable	76.1593	Z-Statistic	0.7440	4.7706
Attention to Detail	82.2424	Z-Statistic	1.1495	18.5319
Emotional Self- Awareness	80.0688	Z-Statistic	1.0046	3.7025
Emotional Self-Control	91.1507	Z-Statistic	1.7434	3.7025
Seeks Perfection	69.6029	Z-Statistic	0.3069	4.3742
Corporate Citizenship	10.0000	Z-Statistic	-3.6667	4.7809
Competitive	78.3237	Z-Statistic	0.8882	4.3196
Develops Relationships	92.1910	Z-Statistic	1.8127	4.0021
Enjoys Problem-Solving	87.2605	Z-Statistic	1.4840	3.9197
Empathy	94.5222	Z-Statistic	1.9681	3.0649
History Survey - Performance	98.6981	Z-Statistic	2.2465	9.9982
History Survey - Tenure	93.9716	Z-Statistic	1.9314	9.9982
Exhibits a Positive Work Attitude	71.6903	Z-Statistic	0.4460	4.8322
Analytical Thinking	85.7665	Z-Statistic	1.3844	15.6282
Needs Structure	86.2407	Z-Statistic	1.4160	4.3742
Weighted Average of Cor	mpetency Z-Scores:			1.1354
Mean applied to Raw We		0.0000		
Standard Deviation appli		1.0000		
Normalized Raw Score:		1.1354		
Mean:		65.0000		
Standard Deviation Used		15.0000		
Final Overall Score:		82.0305		



Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)