Candidate: Betty Penske<br>Assessment: Essential Service Worker<br>Completed: April 26, 2024<br>Prepared for: Susan Bookman<br>HR Avatar Data Collection Account

## Test Results and Interview Guide

The Essential Service Worker assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.

## Overall



## Competency Summary



## Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.

| Test-Taker Group | Percentile | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Global | 83rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 69th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| HR Avatar Data | 77th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Assessment Overview

The HR Avatar Essential Service Worker Assessment is designed to help you hire low-level, rank-and-file service staff. The test provides a whole-person evaluation by measuring job-relevant cognitive ability, personality, knowledge, skills, and relevant behavioral history. Each component provides important data for hiring decisions.

## Detail

Candidate:
Assessment:
Authorized:
Started:
Completed:
Overall Score:

Betty Penske, bettypenske@yourcompany.org
Essential Service Worker
April 26, 2024, by Susan Bookman, HR Avatar Data Collection Account, sue.bookman@richardson.biz
April 26, 2024, 5:45:47AM EST
April 26, 2024, 5:45:47AM EST
83

## Cognitive Abilities Detail

This section contains a list of job-related cognitive abilities that have been evaluated in a job-like context using HR Avatar's simulation technology. Studies have demonstrated that cognitive abilities are highly correlated with job performance for many jobs. Abilities also correlate with problem-solving and the ability to learn quickly.

| Detail | Interview Guide |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Memory / Attention to |  |
|  |  |
| Reasoning |  |
| Score: 80 |  |

## Personality Characteristics Detail

This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

## Detail

## Interview Guide

Adaptability / Flexibility
Score: 91


Description:
This scale reflects how accepting a person is of frequent or substantial changes in his or her job requirements and how they adjust to those changes. Changing work requirements usually causes stress and puts pressure on an individual to adapt. High scorers usually thrive under changing work conditions, while low scorers may burn out or become paralyzed. In more stable job circumstances, high scorers may become bored, while low scorers would remain satisfied.

## Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Thrives on change. Able to remain focused and positive in times of significant change. Sees self as very flexible and easy-going. However, they may often be perceived as too easy-going under certain circumstances.

## Conscientiousness

Score: 93


Description:
This scale reflects the amount of pride a person takes in producing quality work products. Additionally, it demonstrates the degree to which an individual will work hard to achieve goals and solve critical problems in the organization. High scores on this scale indicate a person will be diligent in their work and use all necessary sources to solve problems. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may be unenthusiastic about work and may struggle with complex tasks and challenges.

## Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Takes significant pride in performing quality work. Highly motivated by challenging goals and tasks, financial rewards, and/or recognition, and willing to work very hard to succeed. Very focused on understanding guidelines, following the rules and personal achievement.

Would you rather work in a job where the work is predictable or one where activities are constantly changing? Why?

| Becomes paralyzed <br> by change. Or <br> disregards the <br> change and | Resistant and fearful of <br> change, or appears to <br> lontinues same <br> live for change. |
| :--- | :--- |

How do you feel when things change at work? How do you cope?


Candidate gets frustrated and doesn't have an effective way to cope.


Candidate recognizes that they struggle and has one quality way to cope.


Candidate thrives when things change and has multiple ways to cope.

Tell me about a time when you went above and beyond the call of duty to achieve a difficult goal or challenge. What motivated you to put forth the extra effort?


```
1
```

Poor or weak example. No real extra effort.


2


3
Moderate example. Some extra effort evident.
$\hbar$
4

Strong example. Clearly applied extra effort. Well organized in approach.

How do you react when you are faced with obstacles while trying to achieve a goal? How do you overcome them?


1
Reaction:
Overwhelmed
Struggles to come up with a clear way to overcome the obstacle and doesn't demonstrate hard work.


Reaction: ready but not excited

Candidate shows they are able to overcome the obstacle but only by doing the bare minimum.


4
Reaction: excited and ready

Candidate shows they are able to overcome the obstacle by putting in extra effort and being diligent.

## Detail

## Empathy \& Emotional

## Self-Control

Score: 62


## Description:

Demonstrates exceptional strengths in sensing the emotional needs of others, sympathizing with other people's problems, and seeing things from other people's point of view. Likely to be very effective at demonstrating to customers or coworkers that they understand and care about them, resulting in significantly improved customer loyalty, much stronger work relationships, and noticeably reduced levels of conflict in the workplace.

## Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area indicates neither a positive nor a negative impact on performance.

Demonstrates moderate ability to sense the emotional needs of others, sympathize with other people's problems, and see things from other people's point of view. Likely to generally be effective at demonstrating that they understand and care about other people, maintaining current levels of customer loyalty, work relationships, and conflict in the workplace.

## Interview Guide

Are you good at relating to the feelings of others? Can you give me an example of how this helped you navigate a difficult situation at work or at school?


Not able to sense how others feel. Unable to provide example.


Some ability to sense how others feel. Example shows some ability to use senses at work.


Able to relate to others and sense how they feel. Example shows can easily apply senses at work.

Tell me about a time you got upset at work. How did you handle/deal with your emotions?


They had an inappropriate response or placed blame.

##  <br> 3

They (1) had an appropriate response (2) took responsibility but (3) were unable to make the bad situation better.


They (1) had an appropriate response (2) took responsibility and (3) worked to make the bad situation better.

## Detail

## Interview Guide

Reliability
Score: 91


Description:
This scale reflects the degree to which an individual is able to be trusted and maintain consistent quality performance. High scores on this scale indicate a person who can be trusted to do what they say, always follows through, and never breaks their promises. Low scores on this scale indicate a person who would likely brush off timelines and responsibilities, and is known for being inconsistent.

## Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Responses indicate the candidate is trustworthy and likely to show consistent high performance. Can be expected to meet commitments regularly and to earn the respect of peers in doing so. Able to form strong, mutually supportive work relationships while reducing potential for workplace conflict.

## Service Orientation

Score: 97


## Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual recognizes and meets customers' needs. High scores on this scale indicate a person who makes themself available for others and cares about them. They show a level of understanding, dedication, and the ability to be proactive. Low scores on this scale indicate a person who has difficulty recognizing the needs of others, often preoccupied with their personal needs, and may find some customers to be unreasonable.

## Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Holds a strong desire to understand customer needs and do whatever it takes to resolve customer issues. Likely to deliver exceptional customer service that delights the customer, greatly improves customer satisfaction and customer relationships, and strengthens the reputation of the organization. Demonstrates a strong level of understanding, dedication, and the ability to be proactive.

Describe a time when someone relied on you and you were successful.


1
Candidate has never been in this situation or has never been successful.


Candidate describes a time someone relied on them for something easy and they followed through on that commitment.


Candidate describes a time someone relied on them for something substantial and they followed through on that commitment.

Describe a time when you were unable to follow through on a promise. How did you handle that situation? Why didn't you follow through?


1
Candidate was unable to follow through due to circumstances under their control. They did nothing to correct the situation.


3
Candidate was unable to follow through due to circumstances under their control. They apologized and regained the trust back.


Candidate unable to follow through due to circumstances out of their control. They apologized and regained the trust back.

What appeals to you about being in a customer service role?


Doesn't find the role appealing.

Has quality reasoning for applying but doesn't show they will enjoy working in a customer service role.


Ties their passions and skills into why they applied for a customer service role.

What is your experience with multitasking? How were you able to handle doing multiple things at once?


Has no or minimal multitasking experience. Is unable to handle doing multiple things at once.


Has experience multitasking. Uses one quality skill to handle doing multiple things at once.


Has a lot of experience multitasking. Uses multiple quality skills to handle doing multiple things at once.

## Scored Survey Detail

This section provides additional detail on the candidate's scored survey responses. Potential caution areas (if any) are specified in each detail section.

| Detail | Interview Guide |
| :---: | :---: |
| History Survey - <br> Performance <br> Score: 90 <br> Description: <br> Evaluates elements of the candidate's past work and education history to identify indications of high or low performance potential. | How does your work compare with your peers? Do you produce more or less? How do you know? <br> What kind of feedback have you received about your performance from your managers and your peers? |
| History Survey - Tenure <br> Score: 78 <br> Description: <br> Evaluates a candidate's past employment history and related factors for indications of potentially low job tenure. | Review your last few jobs with me, explaining why you left the old job and what attracted you to the new one. <br> What is the longest distance you have had to commute to work? What did you do during the commute? How long did you keep that job? |

## Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

| Photo Analysis Results |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Risk: | Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies |
| - Percent match among processed faces | 100\% |
| - Total images processed | 17 |
| - Total images with valid faces | 14 (82\%) |
| - Total pairs of faces compared | 13 |
| - Pairs in which faces matched | 13 (100\%) |
|  |  |
| Pre/Post-Test Photo ID Photo |  |
|  |  |
| In-Test Error Detected (No In-Test Photo Face Detected) | In-Test Photo In-Test Photo |
|  |  |
| In-Test Photo Pre/Post-Test Photo |  |

## Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a report to the current values by clicking on "Recalculate Percentiles" within the online results viewing pages at www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50-65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of $35-50$ (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- Sim ID: 12113-1, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 68, Prd: 4555, Created: 2024-04-26 10:45 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko


## Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a $Z$ statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the $Z$ value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the $Z$ statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency $Z$ statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a $Z$ statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

| Competency | Score | How applied to overall | Score Value Used | Weight (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adaptability / Flexibility | 91.3829 | Z-Statistic | 1.7589 | 6.6667 |
| Conscientiousness | 93.9337 | Z-Statistic | 1.9289 | 6.6667 |
| Empathy \& Emotional Self-Control | 62.9208 | Z-Statistic | -0.1386 | 6.6667 |
| History Survey Performance | 90.0655 | Z-Statistic | 1.6710 | 16.6667 |
| History Survey - Tenure | 78.8612 | Z-Statistic | 0.9241 | 16.6667 |
| Memory / Attention to Detail / Logic \& Reasoning | 80.1175 | Z-Statistic | 1.0078 | 33.3333 |
| Reliability | 91.6121 | Z-Statistic | 1.7741 | 6.6667 |
| Service Orientation | 97.0675 | Z-Statistic | 2.1378 | 6.6667 |
| Weighted Average of Competency Z-Scores: |  |  |  | 1.2659 |
| Mean applied to Raw Weighted Avg: |  |  |  | 0.0000 |
| Standard Deviation applied to Raw Weighted Avg: |  |  |  | 1.0000 |
| Normalized Raw Score: |  |  |  | 1.2659 |
| Mean: |  |  |  | 65.0000 |
| Standard Deviation Used: |  |  |  | 15.0000 |
| Final Overall Score: |  |  |  | 83.9881 |

## Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)

