

Test Results and Interview Guide


Candidate: **Elizabeth Wantsajob**
Assessment: Pre-Hire Personality (Swipe Format)
Completed: April 22, 2026
Prepared for: Sara Maple
Example Company

What's Included

- Overall Score
- Competency Summary Table
- Comparison Matrix
- Detailed Competency Results with Interview Guide

Important Note: The Pre-Hire Personality (Swipe Format) assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.

Overall







Candidate	Score	Interpretation
Elizabeth Wantsajob beth.wantsajob@gmail.com Pre-Hire Personality (Swipe Format) April 22, 2026	<div style="background-color: #4CAF50; border-radius: 50%; width: 40px; height: 40px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 0 auto;">66</div>	

The candidate's scores indicate moderate to high performance potential in most jobs. We recommend that this score be used in conjunction with a comprehensive process for evaluating potential performance, including the specific knowledge, skills, and abilities required for a particular job.

Key


- Candidate Score
- Higher Risk
- Lower Risk

Competency Summary

Competency	Score	Interpretation
Personality Characteristics (relates to fit with the job/team environment)		
Adaptability	71	
Drive	63	
Empathy and Emotional Self-Control	94	
Integrity	10	
Resilience	85	
Teamwork	72	

Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.

Test-Taker Group	Percentile	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Global	66th												
North America	54th												
United States	54th												
Example Company	60th												

Artificial Intelligence (AI) Generated Scores

This table includes one or more scores derived from a large language model AI query. AI-derived scores are non-deterministic. That is, they are not precisely repeatable. Therefore, these scores should always be treated as supplementary information and should never be used exclusively or compared to hard cutoff values.

Estimated Value	Score	Confidence	Interpretation
Personality Summary	-	-	<p>Summary Points (AI):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (Generic Text for Sample Report) Exceptionally high drive and resilience — pursues goals with strong energy, persistence, and the ability to bounce back from setbacks. Highly adaptable — adjusts effectively to changing circumstances and new challenges. Strong integrity — trustworthy, principled, and reliable in her actions and commitments. Moderate empathy and emotional self-control — reasonably aware of others' emotions and generally manages her own, with some room for growth. Low teamwork score is a key development area — may prefer working independently and could struggle with collaboration, shared responsibility, and group-oriented goals. <p>Narrative (AI): Shantel Cunningham demonstrates a compelling combination of drive, adaptability, and resilience that positions her as a highly motivated and determined individual. She pursues her goals with exceptional energy and persistence, and is able to pivot and adjust effectively when faced with new or changing circumstances. Her strong resilience means she recovers well from setbacks and maintains her focus under pressure, making her a dependable performer in challenging environments.</p> <p>Shantel also shows solid integrity, suggesting she is honest, principled, and trustworthy in her actions and decisions. Others can generally count on her to do the right thing and follow through on her commitments. Her moderate level of empathy and emotional self-control indicates she has a reasonable awareness of others' feelings and can manage her own emotions in most situations, though there may be occasional moments where emotional regulation could be further developed.</p> <p>The most notable area for growth for Shantel is teamwork, where her score falls significantly below her other traits. This suggests she may prefer working independently and could find it challenging to collaborate closely with others, share responsibilities, or prioritize group goals over individual ones. In team-based settings, she may come across as less cooperative or engaged with her colleagues, which could create friction or limit her effectiveness in roles that require strong interdependence and collective effort.</p> <p>Overall, Shantel is a driven, resilient, and adaptable individual with strong personal values. To maximize her impact in team environments, she would benefit from intentionally developing her collaborative skills, actively seeking opportunities to contribute to group success, and building stronger interpersonal connections with teammates.</p> <p>Computed on: April 3, 2026, 1:56:47AM EDT</p>

Detail

Candidate: Elizabeth Wantsajob, beth.wantsajob@gmail.com
 Assessment: Pre-Hire Personality (Swipe Format)
 Authorized: April 22, 2026, by Sara Maple, Example Company, qamailsaram.mike@hravatar.com
 Started: April 22, 2026, 12:52:28AM EDT
 Completed: April 22, 2026, 12:52:28AM EDT
 Overall Score: 66


Personality Characteristics Detail

This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Detail
Interview Guide

Adaptability

Score: 71



Description:

This scale reflects how accepting a person is of frequent or substantial changes in his or her job requirements. Changing work requirements usually cause stress and put pressure on an individual to adapt. High scorers usually thrive under changing work conditions, while low scorers may burn out or become paralyzed. In more stable job circumstances, high scorers may become bored, while low scorers would remain satisfied.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Prefers a dynamic work environment. Able to remain focused and positive in times of significant workplace change. Fairly easy-going and relaxed. However, may appear uninterested under certain circumstances.

Describe a time where you had to adapt to some significant changes at work. How did you feel? How did you handle the change?



1

Feelings: Strong Dislike or Very Resistant. Weren't able to handle the change or needed significant help.



2

Feelings: Unfazed or Slightly Resistant. Handled the situation & change only impacted their work in a minor way.



3



4

Feelings: Excited or Comfortable. Handled the situation well and in a way that didn't interfere with their work.



5

What are some of the techniques you use to keep yourself from getting burnt out when faced with ever-changing conditions?



1

Candidate doesn't have an effective technique to keep them from getting burnt out.



2

Candidate is only able to explain one effective technique to keep them from getting burnt out.



3



4

Candidate explains multiple effective techniques allowing themselves to not get burnt out.




5

Detail Interview Guide

Drive

Score: 63



Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual will work hard to achieve goals and solve critical problems in the organization. High scores on this scale indicate a person will be diligent in their work and use all necessary sources to solve problems. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may be unenthusiastic about work and may struggle with complex tasks and challenges.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area indicates neither a positive nor a negative impact on performance.

Motivated by moderately challenging goals, financial rewards, and meeting expectations, but may not be motivated by stretch goals or other highly challenging goals. Willing to work moderately hard to succeed.

Describe a time when you had some extra time available at work. How did you use this extra time?



1

Did not use their time in a beneficial way, or in a way that added value to the organization.



2

Used their time in a work related way, but those efforts didn't demonstrate hard work or added value (easy).



3



4

Used time in a beneficial way and added value to the organization. Showed ability to work hard and willingness to put in extra effort.



5

How do you react when you are faced with obstacles while trying to achieve a goal? How do you overcome them?



1

Reaction: Overwhelmed. Struggles to come up with a clear way to overcome the obstacle and doesn't demonstrate hard work.



2

Reaction: Ready but not excited. Candidate shows they are able to overcome the obstacle but only by doing the bare minimum.



3



4

Reaction: Excited and ready. Candidate shows they are able to overcome the obstacle by putting in extra effort and being diligent.




5

Detail

Interview Guide

Empathy and Emotional Self-Control

Score: 94



Description:

Demonstrates exceptional strengths in sensing the emotional needs of others, sympathizing with other people's problems, and seeing things from other people's point of view. Likely to be very effective at demonstrating to customers or coworkers that they understand and care about them, resulting in significantly improved customer loyalty, much stronger work relationships, and noticeably reduced levels of conflict in the workplace.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Demonstrates exceptional strengths in sensing the emotional needs of others, sympathizing with other people's problems, and seeing things from other people's point of view. Likely to be very effective at demonstrating to customers or coworkers that they understand and care about them, resulting in significantly improved customer loyalty, much stronger work relationships, and noticeably reduced levels of conflict in the workplace.

How well can you sense how others around you are feeling? How do you use this information when interacting with them?



1

Demonstrates that they are unable to sense how others around them are feeling.



2

Provides examples on how they are able to sense other's feelings. They don't use this to show understanding and care.



3



4

Provides examples on how they are able to sense others' feelings. They use this to show they understand and care about them.



5

What do you typically do when you are working closely with someone who is very upset?



1

They have an inappropriate response and don't demonstrate understanding or care.



2

They (1) have an appropriate response and (2) demonstrate understanding but unable to show the customer that they care.



3



4


They (1) have an appropriate response (2) demonstrate understanding and (3) show the customer that they care.



5

Detail
Integrity

Score: 10


Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual acts positively towards the organization, avoids unnecessary risk, and, simply put, does the right thing. High scores on this scale indicate a person will act in the organization's best interest, follow the rules, and work hard under limited supervision. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may engage in risk-taking behaviors, work to undermine the organization, and only do the bare minimum.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area indicates risk of a negative impact on performance for some jobs. Additional probing is strongly recommended.

Distrusts the organization and management. Frequently assumes new ideas or changes will have a negative individual impact. Can be defensive regarding his or her own work, or show hostility towards management or company policies. May take unnecessary risks on the job.

Interview Guide

What circumstance(s) might cause you to withhold information from your supervisor? How would you judge whether doing so would be justified?



1

Shows that they are not concerned about ethics or organizational values/rules.



2

Explains only situational circumstances. Judgement does not stem from an ethical standpoint.



3



4

Explains only situational circumstances, or no circumstances. Judgement stems from ethical standards.



5

Do you think it's ever okay to withhold information from your supervisor? How would you judge whether doing so is okay or not?



1

Answer shows that they are not concerned about ethics or organizational values/rules.



2

Explains only situational circumstances. Judgement does not stem from an ethical standpoint.



3



4


Explains only situational circumstances, or no circumstances. Judgement stems from ethical standards.



5

Detail
Interview Guide
Resilience

Score: 85


Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual can withstand adversity, bounce back from difficult events, and be persistent in doing work tasks despite difficulties that come their way. High scores on this scale indicate a person will likely be able to deal with difficult situations with ease, perceive they have control over events in their life, and continue to push forward to achieve goals. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may claim that mistakes/failures were out of their control. Low scorers tend to not cope well with on-the-job stress and don't put in the extra effort to achieve success when obstacles come their way.

Please note that resilience is not a fixed trait. Unlike many other personality characteristics, resilience can be developed over time. Additionally, multiple factors can influence how resilient a person is within a specific situation. In recognition of these features, additional probing using suggested interview questions is strongly recommended.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Responses indicate that the candidate can effectively work through difficulties at work by exhibiting positive emotions, having control over the events, being proactive, remaining hopeful, and learning from the experience. Candidate can always be expected to push forward to achieve their goals, even when obstacles come their way.

Describe a time when something at work/school didn't go as planned. How did you feel? How did it impact your work moving forward?



1

Feelings had a negative outlook. Event impacted their work in a negative way, they weren't able to learn from it or persevere.



2

Feelings are true to the situation. Event impacted their work in a negative way but were able to learn from it and persevere.



3



4

Feelings are true to the situation but with a positive outlook. The event impacted their work in a positive way or didn't impact their work at all.



5

What are some challenges you face when you receive bad news?



1

They don't think they have any challenges. OR They recognize they have challenges but don't know how to work through them.



2

Recognize they have challenges and it may impact their work, however they see the positive outlook and have a plan to fix the challenges.



3



4

Recognize they have challenges, however they see the positive outlook in the long run and it doesn't impact their work.




5

Detail

Interview Guide

Teamwork

Score: 72



Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual works well with teams and maintains positive interpersonal relationships. High scores on this scale indicate a person will thrive in collaborative team settings and maintain high-quality relationships with coworkers. Low scores on this scale indicate a person will prefer working on individual projects and may struggle to maintain close working relationships with colleagues.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Actively cultivates relationships. Comfortable meeting new people and sensitive to how others feel. Works with colleagues and seeks input to develop friendships and meet goals.

Describe a time when you worked in a team. What was your role? How did you delegate tasks with the other team members?



1

They describe their role in a way that does not show significance. Delegation tactics were not efficient or helpful.



2

They describe their role in a way that doesn't show significance. Delegation tactics were efficient and helpful.



3



4

They describe their role in a way that shows significance. Delegation tactics were efficient and helpful.



5

Do you prefer working in teams or by yourself? Why?



1

They choose teams or individual and feel they would be incapable of working in the opposite environment.



2

They feel they would work well in either environment but are unable to back that up with rational reasons.



3



4

Response reflects rational reasons for why they prefer teams, individual, or both. They feel they would work well in either environment.




5

Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

Photo Analysis Results

- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies
- Percent match among processed faces	100%
- Total images processed	17
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)
- Total pairs of faces compared	13
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)



Pre/Post-Test Photo




ID Photo



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)




In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)




In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)




In-Test Photo




In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



Pre/Post-Test Photo

Resume or CV

Summary

Updated on

Motivated career professional with extensive experience in office administration and management. Proven track record of improving efficiency, reducing costs, and enhancing office operations through strategic initiatives and technology implementation.

Objective

I am seeking a role where I can use my many skills and my exceptional judgment and empathy for customers to make a difference to a growing company.

Education

- Associate of Applied Science in Office Administration, Portland Community College, 2020

Experience

- General Office Clerk, Paramount Office Management, 09/2023 – Present
- Administrative Assistant, Global Enterprises Inc., 04/2021 – 08/2023
- Administrative Assistant, Innovative Business Solutions Ltd., 07/2019 – 03/2021

Other Qualifications

- Microsoft Office Specialist (MOS) Certification
- Certified Administrative Professional (CAP)
- International Association of Administrative Professionals (IAAP) Certification

Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a report to the current values by clicking on 'Recalculate Percentiles' within the online results viewing pages at www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 - 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 - 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- Sim ID: 14106-9, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 68, Prd: 5111, Created: 2026-04-22 00:52 EDT
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko

Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)