

Candidate: Betty Penske

Assessment: Pre-Hire Personality (Leadership Focus, Swipe Format)

Completed: July 27, 2024 Prepared for: Susan Bookman


HR Avatar Data Collection Account

# **Test Results and Interview Guide**


The Pre-Hire Personality (Leadership Focus, Swipe Format) assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.



# **Overall**




# **Competency Summary**



# Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.





Candidate: Betty Penske, bettypenske@yourcompany.org
Assessment: Pre-Hire Personality (Leadership Focus, Swipe Format)

Authorized: July 27, 2024, by Susan Bookman, HR Avatar Data Collection Account, sue.bookman@richardson.biz

Started: July 27, 2024, 12:08:07AM EST Completed: July 27, 2024, 12:08:07AM EST

Overall Score: 65

# **Personality Characteristics Detail**

This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

#### Detail **Interview Guide** Adaptability Score: 70 Describe a time where you had to adapt to some significant changes at work. How did you feel? How did you handle the change? Description: This scale reflects how accepting a person is of 5 1 frequent or substantial changes in his or her job Feelings: Strong Feelings: Unfazed or Feelings: Excited or requirements. Changing work requirements Dislike or Very Slightly Resistant. Comfortable. usually cause stress and put pressure on an Resistant. Weren't Handled the situation & Handled the individual to adapt. High scorers usually thrive able to handle the change only impacted situation well and under changing work conditions, while low change or needed their work in a minor in a way that didn't scorers may burn out or become paralyzed. In significant help. interfere with their way. more stable job circumstances, high scorers may work. become bored, while low scorers would remain satisfied. Interpretation: The candidate's score in this area should What are some of the techniques you use to keep yourself from getting contribute to enhanced overall job performance. burnt out when faced with ever-changing conditions? Prefers a dynamic work environment. Able to remain focused and positive in times of significant workplace change. Fairly easy-going 1 3 and relaxed. However, may appear uninterested Candidate doesn't Candidate is only able to Candidate explains under certain circumstances. have an effective explain one effective multiple effective technique to keep technique to keep them techniques them from getting from getting burnt out. allowing themselves to not burnt out. get burnt out.



# **Competitive Spirit**

Score: 67

Description:



This scale indicates the degree to which an individual is driven by a desire to win, to achieve objectives, and to outperform their peers. Competitiveness is the tendency to evaluate one's performance in comparison to others. It is characterized by a desire to do better than others, enjoying situations that can lead to a clear winner, and thriving in an environment where people are differentiated by

#### Interpretation:

accomplishments.

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Likes to compete. Derives self-esteem from winning and will spend extra effort to succeed. Comfortable competing with peers.

## **Interview Guide**

When was the last time you were in a competitive situation, like a contest, at work? How did it go?



Explains a time they were competitive, but story does not demonstrate a desire to compete.



Explains a time they were competitive. Story shows an average desire to compete.



Explains a recent time they were competitive. Story demonstrates high healthy levels of competitiveness.

5

How do you like working with really competitive people? Do you think it impacts your motivation?



Explains they do

not work well with

competitive people

and it leaves them

unmotivated.

3



Explains they work well with competitive people but their motivation is hindered.



Explains they work well with competitive people and that it helps their motivation.

5

#### Drive

Score: 72



#### Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual will work hard to achieve goals and solve critical problems in the organization. High scores on this scale indicate a person will be diligent in their work and use all necessary sources to solve problems. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may be unenthusiastic about work and may struggle with complex tasks and challenges.

#### Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Motivated by challenging goals and tasks, financial reward, and/or recognition, and willing to work hard to succeed. Focused on understanding and following guidelines, personal achievement, and meeting or exceeding quality and production standards.

Describe a time when you had some extra time available at work. How did you use this extra time?



1

Did not use their time in a beneficial way, or in a way that added value to the organization.



Used their time in a work related way, but those efforts didn't demonstrate hard work

or added value (easy).

3



Used time in a beneficial way and

added value to the organization. Showed ability to work hard and willingness to put in extra effort.

5

How do you react when you are faced with obstacles while trying to achieve a goal? How do you overcome them?

W

3



1

Reaction: Overwhelmed. Struggles to come up with a clear way to overcome the obstacle and doesn't demonstrate hard work.



Reaction: Ready but not excited.Candidate shows they are able to overcome the obstacle but only by doing the bare minimum.



Reaction: Excited and

ready.Candidate shows they are able to overcome the obstacle by putting in extra effort and being diligent.

W

5



# **Empathy and Emotional Self-Control**

Score: 64



#### Description:

Demonstrates exceptional strengths in sensing the emotional needs of others, sympathizing with other people's problems, and seeing things from other people's point of view. Likely to be very effective at demonstrating to customers or coworkers that they understand and care about them, resulting in significantly improved customer loyalty, much stronger work relationships, and noticeably reduced levels of conflict in the workplace.

#### Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area indicates neither a positive nor a negative impact on performance.

Demonstrates moderate strength in sensing the emotional needs of others, sympathizing with other people's problems, and seeing things from other people's point of view. Likely to generally be effective at demonstrating to customers or coworkers that they understand and care about them, maintaining current levels of customer loyalty, work relationships, and conflict in the workplace.

# **Interview Guide**

How well can you sense how others around you are feeling? How do you use this information when interacting with them?



Demonstrates that they are unable to sense how others around them are feeling.



Provides examples on how they are able to sense other's feelings. They don't use this to



show understanding and



5 Provides examples on how they are able to sense others' feelings. They use this to show they understand and care about them.

What do you typically do when you are working closely with someone who is very upset?



They have an inappropriate response and don't demonstrate understanding or care.



They (1) have an appropriate response and (2) demonstrate understanding but unable to show the customer that they care.



They (1) have an appropriate response (2) demonstrate understanding and (3) show the customer that they care.



# Integrity

Score: 10



#### Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual acts positively towards the organization, avoids unnecessary risk, and, simply put, does the right thing. High scores on this scale indicate a person will act in the organization's best interest, follow the rules, and work hard under limited supervision. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may engage in risk-taking behaviors, work to undermine the organization, and only do the bare minimum.

#### Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area indicates risk of a negative impact on performance for some jobs. Additional probing is strongly recommended.

Distrusts the organization and management. Frequently assumes new ideas or changes will have a negative individual impact. Can be defensive regarding his or her own work, or show hostility towards management or company policies. May take unnecessary risks on the job.

# **Interview Guide**

What circumstance(s) might cause you to withhold information from your supervisor? How would you judge whether doing so would be justified?




about ethics or

organizational

values/rules.







Explains only situational circumstances. Judgement does not stem from an ethical standpoint.



5

Explains only situational circumstances, or no circumstances. Judgement stems from ethical standards.

Do you think it's ever okay to withhold information from your supervisor? How would you judge whether doing so is okay or not?





Answer shows that they are not concerned about ethics or organizational values/rules.



Explains only situational circumstances. Judgement does not stem from an ethical standpoint.



5

**Explains only** situational circumstances, or no circumstances. Judgement stems from ethical standards.

## **Leader Mindset**

Score: 80



#### Description:

Individuals scoring highly in Leadership Mindset want to be in charge. They confidently take responsibility for their team and expect to be held accountable for results. They understand the importance of trust in both directions and they delegate appropriately. They also know how to motivate individuals to contribute unselfishly to group goals.

#### Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Strongly prefers to be in charge and seeks out leadership opportunities. Feels that others naturally accept their leadership. Has a high degree of confidence in his or her own leadership ability.

How would you describe your leadership style? What values are most important to you as a leader?







Their leadership style doesn't fit well with the organization; style only shows 1 key leadership skill.



Their leadership style represents one that fits well into the organization; style

shows 2 key leadership skills.



Their leadership style represents one that fits well into the organization; style shows multiple

leadership skills.

Who is your favorite leader? Why?



1

Their favorite leader is not described in a way that shows leadership skills.



Their favorite leader is described as someone who has a couple key leadership skills and does not relate those skills to themselves.



Their favorite leader is described as someone who has multiple key leadership skills and is able to relate those skills to themselves.



### Resilience

Score: 91



#### Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual can withstand adversity, bounce back from difficult events, and be persistent in doing work tasks despite difficulties that come their way. High scores on this scale indicate a person will likely be able to deal with difficult situations with ease, perceive they have control over events in their life, and continue to push forward to achieve goals. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may claim that mistakes/failures were out of their control. Low scorers tend to not cope well with on-the-job stress and don't put in the extra effort to achieve success when obstacles come their way.

Please note that resilience is not a fixed trait. Unlike many other personality characteristics, resilience can be developed over time. Additionally, multiple factors can influence how resilient a person is within a specific situation. In recognition of these features, additional probing using suggested interview questions is strongly recommended.

#### Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Responses indicate that the candidate can effectively work through difficulties at work by exhibiting positive emotions, having control over the events, being proactive, remaining hopeful, and learning from the experience. Candidate can always be expected to push forward to achieve their goals, even when obstacles come their way.

## **Interview Guide**

Describe a time when something at work/school didn't go as planned. How did you feel? How did it impact your work moving forward?



Feelings had a negative outlook. Event impacted their work in a negative way, they weren't able to learn from it or persevere.



Feelings are true to the situation. Event impacted their work in a negative way but were able to learn from it and persevere.



4

Feelings are true to the situation but with a positive outlook. The event impacted their work in a positive way or didn't impact their work at all.

5

What are some challenges you face when you receive bad news?



1

They don't think they have any challenges. OR They recognize they have challenges but don't know how to work through them.



Recognize they have challenges and it may impact their work, however they see the positive outlook and have a plan to fix the challenges.



,

Recognize they have challenges, however they see the positive outlook in the long run and it doesn't impact their work.



#### **Teamwork**

Description:

Score: 66



This scale reflects the degree to which an individual works well with teams and maintains positive interpersonal relationships. High scores on this scale indicate a person will thrive in collaborative team settings and maintain highquality relationships with coworkers. Low scores on this scale indicate a person will prefer working on individual projects and may struggle to maintain close working relationships with colleagues.

#### Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Actively cultivates relationships. Comfortable meeting new people and sensitive to how others feel. Works with colleagues and seeks input to develop friendships and meet goals.

# **Interview Guide**

Describe a time when you worked in a team. What was your role? How did you delegate tasks with the other team members?



They describe their role in a way that does not show significance. Delegation tactics were not efficient or helpful.



3

They describe their role in a way that doesn't show significance. Delegation tactics were efficient and helpful.



5

They describe their role in a way that shows significance. Delegation tactics were efficient and helpful.

Do you prefer working in teams or by yourself? Why?







Response reflects rational reasons for why they prefer teams, individual, or both. They feel they would work well in either environment.



They choose teams or individual and feel they would be incapable of working in the opposite environment.



They feel they would work well in either environment but are unable to back that up with rational reasons.



Copyright 2024, HR Avatar, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Page 8 of 11



# **Identity Confirmation Photos**

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

Photo Analysis Results				
- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies			
- Percent match among processed faces	100%			
- Total images processed	17			
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)			
- Total pairs of faces compared	13			
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)			









Pre/Post-Test Photo

ID Photo

In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)

In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)









In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)

In-Test Photo

In-Test Photo

In-Test Photo





In-Test Photo

Pre/Post-Test Photo



# **Report Preparation Notes**

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the
  assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a
  report to the current values by clicking on 'Recalculate Percentiles' within the online results viewing pages at
  www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the
  average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this
  value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- Sim ID: 14188-3, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 68, Prd: 5207, Created: 2024-07-27 05:08 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko



# **Score Calculation Detail**

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)
Adaptability	70.1822	Z-Statistic	0.3455	12.5000
Competitive Spirit	67.0554	Z-Statistic	0.1370	12.5000
Drive	72.7747	Z-Statistic	0.5183	12.5000
Empathy and Emotional Self-Control	64.4810	Z-Statistic	-0.0346	12.5000
Integrity	10.0000	Z-Statistic	-3.6667	12.5000
Leader Mindset	80.7663	Z-Statistic	1.0511	12.5000
Resilience	91.8944	Z-Statistic	1.7930	12.5000
Teamwork	66.5396	Z-Statistic	0.1026	12.5000
Weighted Average of Co	0.0308			
Mean applied to Raw We	0.0000			
Standard Deviation appli	1.0000			
Normalized Raw Score:	0.0308			
Mean:	65.0000			
Standard Deviation Used	15.0000			
Final Overall Score:	65.4617			



# **Notes**

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)