

# Test Results and Interview Guide


Candidate: **Richard Wantsajob**  
Assessment: Behavioral History Survey - Professional Version  
Completed: July 15, 2025  
Prepared for: Sara Maple  
Example Company

## What's Included


- Overall Score
- Competency Summary Table
- Comparison Matrix
- Detailed Competency Results with Interview Guide

**Important Note:** The Behavioral History Survey - Professional Version assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.

## Overall




## Competency Summary



## Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.



## Detail

Candidate: **Richard Wantsajob**, rich.wantsajob@gmail.com  
 Assessment: Behavioral History Survey - Professional Version  
 Authorized: July 15, 2025, by Sara Maple, Example Company, qamailsaram.mike@hravatar.com  
 Started: July 15, 2025, 4:34:00PM EDT  
 Completed: July 15, 2025, 4:34:00PM EDT  
 Overall Score: 68

## Behavioral History Detail


This section evaluates answers the candidate gave concerning his or her work-related history. Studies often show that a candidate's past behavior often indicates his or her future behavior. Potential caution areas (if any) are specified in each detail section.

### Detail

### Interview Guide

#### History Survey - Performance

Score: 69



##### Description:

Evaluates elements of the candidate's past work and education history to identify indications of high or low performance potential.

##### Interpretation:

The candidate's score indicates past behaviors that contribute to above average job performance.

Exhibits past behaviors and achievements that are likely to result in above average job performance.

The following potential performance risk areas were identified:

Below average productivity history

Below average performance reviews

Further probing is recommended for each of these items.

How does your work compare with your peers? Do you produce more or less? How do you know?



1



2



3



4



5

What kind of feedback have you received about your performance from your managers and your peers?



1



2



3



4




5

Detail

**History Survey - Tenure**

Score: 67



*Description:*

Evaluates a candidate's past employment history and related factors for indications of potentially low job tenure.

*Interpretation:*

The candidate's score indicates past behaviors that contribute to above average job performance.

Exhibits behaviors likely to result in slightly longer than average job tenure.

The following potential performance risk areas were identified:

Frequent job changes

Potential long commute

Further probing is recommended for each of these items.

Interview Guide

Review your last few jobs with me, explaining why you left the old job and what attracted you to the new one.



1



2



3



4



5

What is the longest distance you have had to commute to work? What did you do during the commute? How long did you keep that job?



1



2



3



4



5

## Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

### Photo Analysis Results

- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies
- Percent match among processed faces	100%
- Total images processed	17
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)
- Total pairs of faces compared	13
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)



*Pre/Post-Test Photo*



*ID Photo*



*In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)*



*In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)*



*In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)*



*In-Test Photo*



*In-Test Photo*



*In-Test Photo*



*In-Test Photo*



*Pre/Post-Test Photo*

## Resume or CV

### Summary

Updated on July 15, 2025, 4:34:01PM EDT

Motivated career professional with extensive experience in office administration and management. Proven track record of improving efficiency, reducing costs, and enhancing office operations through strategic initiatives and technology implementation.

### Objective

I am seeking a role where I can use my many skills and my exceptional judgment and empathy for customers to make a difference to a growing company.

### Education

- Associate of Applied Science in Office Administration, Portland Community College, 2020

### Experience

- General Office Clerk, Paramount Office Management, 09/2023 – Present
- Administrative Assistant, Global Enterprises Inc., 04/2021 – 08/2023
- Administrative Assistant, Innovative Business Solutions Ltd., 07/2019 – 03/2021

### Other Qualifications

- Microsoft Office Specialist (MOS) Certification
- Certified Administrative Professional (CAP)
- International Association of Administrative Professionals (IAAP) Certification

## Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a report to the current values by clicking on 'Recalculate Percentiles' within the online results viewing pages at [www.hravatar.com](http://www.hravatar.com).
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 - 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 - 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- Sim ID: 14199-1, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 16, Prd: 5220, Created: 2025-07-15 20:34 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko

## Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)
History Survey - Performance	69.0866	Z-Statistic	0.2724	50.0000
History Survey - Tenure	67.5318	Z-Statistic	0.1688	50.0000
Weighted Average of Competency Z-Scores:				0.2206
Mean applied to Raw Weighted Avg:				0.0000
Standard Deviation applied to Raw Weighted Avg:				1.0000
Normalized Raw Score:				0.2206
Mean:				65.0000
Standard Deviation Used:				15.0000
Final Overall Score:				68.3092



**Notes**

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)