

# Test Results and Interview Guide

Candidate: Richard Wantsajob

Assessment: English Word Usage (US English)

Completed: May 11, 2025 Prepared for: Sara Maple

**Example Company** 


## What's Included

- Overall Score
- Competency Summary Table
- Comparison Matrix
- Detailed Competency Results with Interview Guide

**Important Note:** The English Word Usage (US English) assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.



#### **Overall**




# **Competency Summary**

Competency	Score		Interpretation				
Skills/Knowledge (relates to immediate readiness)							
English Word Usage	97						V
		0	20	40	60	80	100

# Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.





#### **Detail**

Richard Wantsajob, rich.wantsajob@gmail.com Candidate:

English Word Usage (US English) Assessment:

May 11, 2025, by Sara Maple, Example Company, qamailsaram.mike@hravatar.com Authorized:

Started: May 11, 2025, 5:43:43AM EDT Completed: May 11, 2025, 5:43:43AM EDT

Overall Score: 97

# **Knowledge and Skills Detail**

This section contains a list of job-related knowledge areas and skills that have been evaluated. Low scores in these areas often indicate that additional learning may be required before top performance can be achieved.

### **English Word Usage**

Score: 97

Detail



#### Description:

Evaluate the candidate's ability to express thoughts, questions, and responses by choosing the proper words to use within a sentence. Addresses usage of adjectives, adverbs, nouns, prepositions, and pronouns.

#### Interpretation:

Candidate should achieve superior job performance in this area with little or no training.

Demonstrates a solid understanding of how various words fit together within a sentence. Able to consistently express thoughts, questions, and information clearly.

Interview Guide

Describe how you have developed your English speaking and writing ability, to make sure you can communicate clearly when necessary.











No clear activity to develop English capability.

Some education or training. Occasional practice.

First-language or formal training plus regular practice activities.

What can you do to improve your English language skills so you can communicate clearly?













No desire or plan for improvement.

Passive or on-the-job approach.

3

Clear intention and plan.



# **Identity Confirmation Photos**

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

### **Photo Analysis Results**

- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies
- Percent match among processed faces	100%
- Total images processed	17
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)
- Total pairs of faces compared	13
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)







ID Photo



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)




In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



Pre/Post-Test Photo



# **Report Preparation Notes**

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the
  assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a
  report to the current values by clicking on 'Recalculate Percentiles' within the online results viewing pages at
  www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the
  average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this
  value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- Sim ID: 14569-1, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 68, Prd: 5357, Created: 2025-05-11 09:43 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko



#### **Score Calculation Detail**

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)	
English Word Usage	97.7629	Z-Statistic	2.1842	100.0000	
Weighted Average of Competency Z-Scores:					
Mean applied to Raw Weighted Avg:					
Standard Deviation applied to Raw Weighted Avg:					
Normalized Raw Score:					
Mean:				65.0000	
Standard Deviation Used	d:			15.0000	
Final Overall Score:				97.7629	



# **Notes**

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)