

Candidate: **Betty Penske**
Assessment: Helper - Dining Room and Cafeteria (Short)
Completed: May 12, 2024
Prepared for: Susan Bookman
HR Avatar Data Collection Account

Test Results and Interview Guide

The Helper - Dining Room and Cafeteria (Short) assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.

Detail

Candidate:	Betty Penske, bettypenske@yourcompany.org
Assessment:	Helper - Dining Room and Cafeteria (Short)
Authorized:	May 12, 2024, by Susan Bookman, HR Avatar Data Collection Account, sue.bookman@richardson.biz
Started:	May 12, 2024, 6:06:55PM EST
Completed:	May 12, 2024, 6:06:55PM EST
Overall Score:	72

Cognitive Abilities Detail

This section contains a list of job-related cognitive abilities that have been evaluated in a job-like context using HR Avatar's simulation technology. Studies have demonstrated that cognitive abilities are highly correlated with job performance for many jobs. Abilities also correlate with problem-solving and the ability to learn quickly.

Detail	Interview Guide
<div><p>Memory / Attention to Detail / Logic & Reasoning</p><p>Score: 63</p><div><div></div></div><p><i>Description:</i></p><p>This scale reflects how successful a person is at making sense of facts through logical reasoning. High scorers understand causes and consequences by interpreting a given situation and predicting its outcomes. They are able to remember details and take action accordingly. Low scorers may burn out or become paralyzed. In more stable circumstances, high scorers may become bored, while low scorers would remain satisfied. This scale also represents thoroughness, accuracy, and being concerned for all areas involved no matter how insignificant. Individuals who demonstrate high Attention to Detail produce work that is consistently accurate and require little checking. They rarely forget schedule commitments or overlook even the smallest details.</p><p><i>Interpretation:</i></p><p>Scores in this area correlate with average performance for many jobs.</p><p>Average scores in memory, attention to detail and logic indicate the candidate is likely to learn at an average speed, recall information, solve problems, and adapt to changing conditions. To ensure satisfactory results, the candidate may need additional supervision in challenging or rapidly changing situations, at least initially.</p></div>	<div><p>Can you give me an example of where your attention to detail or your thoughtful analysis of a situation helped make a project successful?</p><div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>1</div><div>2</div><div>3</div><div>4</div><div>5</div><div>Poor example. Does not show attention to detail or analytical ability.</div><div>Moderately relevant or impactful example.</div><div>Strongly relevant and clear example.</div></div><hr/><div><p>How do you handle a situation when you've messed up due to overlooking an important detail?</p><div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>1</div><div>2</div><div>3</div><div>4</div><div>5</div><div>Is unable to handle the situation.</div><div>Demonstrates the ability to admit to their error and quickly fix the error but didn't put preventative systems in place.</div><div>Demonstrates the ability to admit to their error, put preventative systems in place, and quickly fix the error.</div></div></div></div>

Personality Characteristics Detail

This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Continued on next page.



Interview Guide

Score: 94

Score: 75

Page 5 of 9


This section evaluates answers the candidate gave concerning his or her work-related history. Studies often show that a candidate's past behavior often indicates his or her future behavior. Potential caution areas (if any) are specified in each detail section.

Detail	Interview Guide
<p>History Survey - Performance</p> <p>Score: 79</p>  <p><i>Description:</i></p> <p>Evaluates elements of the candidate's past work and education history to identify indications of high or low performance potential.</p> <p><i>Interpretation:</i></p> <p>The candidate's score indicates past behaviors that contribute to above average job performance.</p> <p>Exhibits past behaviors and achievements that are likely to result in above average job performance.</p> <p>The following potential performance risk areas were identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Below average productivity history• Below average performance reviews <p>Further probing is recommended for each of these items.</p>	<p>How does your work compare with your peers? Do you produce more or less? How do you know?</p> <div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div></div> <div><div>1</div><div>2</div><div>3</div><div>4</div><div>5</div></div> <hr/> <p>What kind of feedback have you received about your performance from your managers and your peers?</p> <div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div></div> <div><div>1</div><div>2</div><div>3</div><div>4</div><div>5</div></div>
<p>History Survey - Tenure</p> <p>Score: 69</p>  <p><i>Description:</i></p> <p>Evaluates a candidate's past employment history and related factors for indications of potentially low job tenure.</p> <p><i>Interpretation:</i></p> <p>The candidate's score indicates past behaviors that contribute to above average job performance.</p> <p>Exhibits behaviors likely to result in slightly longer than average job tenure.</p> <p>The following potential performance risk areas were identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Frequent job changes• Potential long commute <p>Further probing is recommended for each of these items.</p>	<p>Review your last few jobs with me, explaining why you left the old job and what attracted you to the new one.</p> <div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div></div> <div><div>1</div><div>2</div><div>3</div><div>4</div><div>5</div></div> <hr/> <p>What is the longest distance you have had to commute to work? What did you do during the commute? How long did you keep that job?</p> <div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div><div>★</div></div> <div><div>1</div><div>2</div><div>3</div><div>4</div><div>5</div></div>


Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.


Photo Analysis Results	
- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies
- Percent match among processed faces	100%
- Total images processed	17
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)
- Total pairs of faces compared	13
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)




Pre/Post-Test Photo




ID Photo




In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)




In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)




In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)




In-Test Photo




In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



Pre/Post-Test Photo

Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a report to the current values by clicking on "Recalculate Percentiles" within the online results viewing pages at www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 - 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 - 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- This assessment makes use of data from the Occupational Information Network (O*NET), which is funded by the U.S. Federal Government - U.S. Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration (USDOL/ETA) - as a primary source of occupational information. The O*NET database contains information on hundreds of standardized and occupation-specific descriptors that are continually updated by ongoing research. These data are used in preparing descriptive information as well as setting relative weights between competencies used in calculating the overall score. For additional information about O*NET, visit <http://www.onetcenter.org>.
- O*Net Standard Occupational Code (SOC) Used: 35-9011.00
- O*Net Version: 26.3
- Sim ID: 14791-1, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 13, Prd: 5636, Created: 2024-05-12 23:06 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko

Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)
Adaptability / Flexibility	94.9883	Z-Statistic	1.9992	12.1097
Conscientiousness	75.8873	Z-Statistic	0.7258	11.4921
History Survey - Performance	79.3673	Z-Statistic	0.9578	12.5458
History Survey - Tenure	69.9144	Z-Statistic	0.3276	12.5458
Memory / Attention to Detail / Logic & Reasoning	63.6263	Z-Statistic	-0.0916	29.3856
Reliability	63.3613	Z-Statistic	-0.1092	11.4921
Service Orientation	76.3053	Z-Statistic	0.7537	10.4287
Weighted Average of Competency Z-Scores:				0.5259
Mean applied to Raw Weighted Avg:				0.0000
Standard Deviation applied to Raw Weighted Avg:				1.0000
Normalized Raw Score:				0.5259
Mean:				65.0000
Standard Deviation Used:				15.0000
Final Overall Score:				72.8887

Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)