

Test Results and Interview Guide

Candidate: Assessment: Completed: Prepared for: **Richard Wantsajob** Hospitality Industry Customer Service Worker (Short) May 11, 2025 Sara Maple Example Company

What's Included

- Overall Score
- Competency Summary Table
- Comparison Matrix
- Detailed Competency Results with Interview Guide

Important Note: The Hospitality Industry Customer Service Worker (Short) assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.

Proprietary and Confidential



Overall

Candidate	Score	Interpretation
Richard Wantsajob	79	0 35 50 65 80 100
rich.wantsajob@gmail.com Hospitality Industry Customer Service Worker (Short) May 11, 2025		0 100
Summary: Moderate to High Performance Potential		Кеу
 Potential Risk Areas Low Integrity score could indicate potential issues with 	ith reliability.	Candidate Score Higher Risk Lower Risk Custom Baseline (Optional)

Competency Summary

Competency	Score	Interpretation						
Cognitive Abilities (relates to job performance, problem-solving, ability to learn, etc.)								
Analytical Thinking and Attention to Detail	64	0 35 50 65 80 100						
Skills/Knowledge (relates to immediate readiness)								
Hospitality Concepts	93	0 35 50 65 80 100						
Personality Characteristics (relates to fit with the job/team environment)								
Adaptability	90	0 35 50 65 80 100						
Customer Service Mindset	87	0 35 50 65 80 100						
Drive	94	0 35 50 65 80 100						
Integrity	10	0 35 50 65 80 100						
Resilience	72	0 35 50 65 80 100						
Teamwork	92	0 35 50 65 80 100						
Emotional Intelligence (relates to situational judgment, performance and teamwork)								
Empathy and Emotional Self-Control	84	0 35 50 65 80 100						
Behavioral History (relates to performance and turnover)								
History Survey - Performance	79	0 35 50 65 80 100						
History Survey - Tenure	92	0 35 50 65 80 100						



Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.

Test-Taker Group	Percentile	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Global	79th											
United States	66th									I.	l I	
Example Company	73rd									I	I I	
			1	I	I.	1	1	I.	1	I	I.	



Candidate:	Richard Wantsajob, rich.wantsajob@gmail.com
Assessment:	Hospitality Industry Customer Service Worker (Short)
Authorized:	May 11, 2025, by Sara Maple, Example Company, qamailsaram.mike@hravatar.com
Started:	May 11, 2025, 8:46:15AM EDT
Completed:	May 11, 2025, 8:46:15AM EDT
Overall Score:	79


Cognitive Abilities Detail

This section contains a list of job-related cognitive abilities that have been evaluated in a job-like context using simulation technology. Studies have demonstrated that cognitive abilities are highly correlated with job performance for many jobs. Abilities also correlate with problem-solving and the ability to learn quickly.

Detail

Interview Guide

Analytical Thinking and Attention to Detail Score: 64



Description:

This scale indicates both the capacity to think in a thoughtful, discerning way, to solve problems, utilize resources, analyze data, and apply attention to detail. Individuals who demonstrate high amounts of analytical thinking are able to recognize patterns rapidly, navigate through problems, and resolve difficult problems systematically. Individuals who demonstrate high attention to detail produce work products that are consistently accurate and require little checking. They rarely forget schedule commitments or overlook even the smallest details.

Interpretation:

Scores in this area correlate with average performance for many jobs.

Sometimes able to think in a thoughtful, discerning way, though may require significant assistance in certain circumstances. Capable of solving moderate problems, planning moderately-featured tasks and projects, organizing resources, and analyzing moderately complex data. Often able to achieve thoroughness and accuracy in a work task, but occasionally overlooks important or meaningful information that can impact quality. Requires a moderate amount of oversight and checking to maintain consistency.

Can you give me an example of where your attention to detail or your thoughtful analysis of a situation helped make a project successful?

1 Poor example. Does not show attention to detail or analytical ability.







5 Strongly relevant and clear example.

put preventative

systems in place.

T

How do you handle a situation when you've messed up due to overlooking an important detail?

3 5 1 2 Is unable to handle Demonstrates the ability Demonstrates the the situation. to admit to their error ability to admit to and quickly fix the error, their error and but didn't put quickly fix the preventative systems in error, but didn't

place.



T

Δ


Knowledge and Skills Detail

This section contains a list of job-related knowledge areas and skills that have been evaluated. Low scores in these areas often indicate that additional learning may be required before top performance can be achieved.

Detail

Interview Guide






Description:

Evaluates the candidate's knowledge of Hospitality principles and practices, with an aim to determine the degree of training that will be required before the candidate can be expected to become productive.

Interpretation:

Candidate should achieve superior job performance in this area with little or no training.

Scores indicate a solid working knowledge of Hospitality principles and practices. Candidate is likely ready to be productive without basic training or with immediate entry into advanced training. Likely to be able to mentor others.



demonstrated in

example.

1 Example didn't require or demonstrate knowledge.



3 Knowledge was only moderately important or moderately

 $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$

5 Clearly relevant application and demonstration of knowledge.


Personality Characteristics Detail

This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Detail

Adaptability





Description:

This scale reflects how accepting a person is of frequent or substantial changes in his or her job requirements. Changing work requirements usually cause stress and put pressure on an individual to adapt. High scorers usually thrive under changing work conditions, while low scorers may burn out or become paralyzed. In more stable job circumstances, high scorers may become bored, while low scorers would remain satisfied.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Thrives on change. Sees self as very flexible and easy-going. However, he or she may often be perceived as disinterested, unmotivated, or too easy-going in times of upheaval.

Interview Guide

Describe a time where you had to adapt to some significant changes at work. How did you feel? How did you handle the change?



What are some of the techniques you use to keep yourself from getting burnt out when faced with ever-changing conditions?



Customer Service Mindset Score: 87



Description:

Individuals who score highly on this scale understand their job is not just to solve customer problems, but to create a delightful experience that fosters loyalty and long-term rapport. They see every call as an opportunity to create a positive experience and to earn each customer's loyalty.


Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Holds a strong desire to understand customer needs and do whatever it takes to resolve customer issues. Likely to deliver exceptional customer service that delights the customer, greatly improves customer satisfaction and customer relationships, and strengthens the reputation of the organization.

Drive





Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual will work hard to achieve goals and solve critical problems in the organization. High scores on this scale indicate a person will be diligent in their work and use all necessary sources to solve problems. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may be unenthusiastic about work and may struggle with complex tasks and challenges.


Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Highly motivated by challenging goals and tasks, financial rewards, and/or recognition, and willing to work very hard to succeed. Very focused on understanding guidelines, following the rules and personal achievement.

Interview Guide

What does customer service mean to you?




What appeals to you about being in a customer service role?



Describe a time when you had some extra time available at work. How did you use this extra time?



How do you react when you are faced with obstacles while trying to achieve a goal? How do you overcome them?



Interview Guide



Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual acts positively towards the organization, avoids unnecessary risk, and, simply put, does the right thing. High scores on this scale indicate a person will act in the organization's best interest, follow the rules, and work hard under limited supervision. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may engage in risk-taking behaviors, work to undermine the organization, and only do the bare minimum.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area indicates risk of a negative impact on performance for some jobs. Additional probing is strongly recommended.

Distrusts the organization and management. Frequently assumes new ideas or changes will have a negative individual impact. Can be defensive regarding his or her own work, or show hostility towards management or company policies. May take unnecessary risks on the job. What circumstance(s) might cause you to withhold information from your supervisor? How would you judge whether doing so would be justified?

3 5 1 4 Shows that they Explains only situational Explains only are not concerned circumstances. situational about ethics or Judgement does not circumstances, or organizational stem from an ethical no circumstances. values/rules. Judgement stems standpoint. from ethical standards.


Do you think it's ever okay to withhold information from your supervisor? How would you judge whether doing so is okay or not?

1 3 Δ Answer shows that Explains only situational they are not circumstances. concerned about Judgement does not ethics or stem from an ethical organizational standpoint. values/rules.



Resilience

Score: 72



Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual can withstand adversity, bounce back from difficult events, and be persistent in doing work tasks despite difficulties that come their way. High scores on this scale indicate a person will likely be able to deal with difficult situations with ease, perceive they have control over events in their life, and continue to push forward to achieve goals. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may claim that mistakes/failures were out of their control. Low scorers tend to not cope well with on-the-job stress and don't put in the extra effort to achieve success when obstacles come their way.

Please note that resilience is not a fixed trait. Unlike many other personality characteristics, resilience can be developed over time. Additionally, multiple factors can influence how resilient a person is within a specific situation. In recognition of these features, additional probing using suggested interview questions is strongly recommended.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Responses indicate that the candidate can effectively work through difficulties at work by exhibiting positive emotions and the ability to take control of events. Candidate can likely push forward to achieve their goals, even when obstacles come their way. Describe a time when something at work/school didn't go as planned. How did you feel? How did it impact your work moving forward?

53 2 1 3 4 5 Feelings had a Feelings are true to the Feelings are true to negative outlook. situation. Event the situation but Event impacted impacted their work in a with a positive outlook. The event their work in a negative way but were negative way, they able to learn from it and impacted their weren't able to work in a positive persevere. learn from it or way or didn't persevere. impact their work at all.

How do you normally react to bad news?

2

Interview Guide

1

They get upset and

don't know how to

work through the

challenges.

3


They get upset, however they see the positive outlook and have a plan to fix the challenges. 5

4

They are able to see the positive outlook in the long run and it doesn't impact their work.

Teamwork

Score: 92



Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual works well with teams and maintains positive interpersonal relationships. High scores on this scale indicate a person will thrive in collaborative team settings and maintain highquality relationships with coworkers. Low scores on this scale indicate a person will prefer working on individual projects and may struggle to maintain close working relationships with colleagues.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Actively cultivates and maintains relationships. Able to quickly establish rapport with new acquaintances and accurately sense others' feelings. Maintains positive relationships with colleagues and uses them to achieve work outcomes and meet goals. **Interview Guide**



Describe a time when you worked in a team. What was your role? How did



Emotional Intelligence Detail

This section contains a list of emotional intelligence characteristics that indicate how tuned in a candidate is to his or her own emotions, and those of others, as well as the candidate's ability to control his or her behavior in light of the emotions he or she is experiencing. These traits can often impact performance in groups or teams. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Detail

Interview Guide





Description:

This scale reflects both the ability to sense and understand other people's feelings, feel sympathy for others, and see things from other people's point of view, and the ability to manage the desire to satisfy urges or impulses, showing restraint and managing behaviors to ensure appropriate and effective interactions with others.


Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Demonstrates exceptional strengths in sensing the emotional needs of others, sympathizing with other people's problems, and seeing things from other people's point of view. Likely to be very effective at demonstrating to customers or coworkers that they understand and care about them, resulting in significantly improved customer loyalty, much stronger work relationships, and noticeably reduced levels of conflict in the workplace. How well can you sense how others around you are feeling? How do you use this information when interacting with them?



What do you typically do when you are working closely with someone who is very upset?



5 They (1) have an appropriate response (2) demonstrate understanding and (3) show the customer that they care.

4

Ŵ



 $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$

5

23

5

 $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$


5

T

5

Behavioral History Detail

This section evaluates answers the candidate gave concerning his or her work-related history. Studies often show that a candidate"s past behavior often indicates his or her future behavior. Potential caution areas (if any) are specified in each detail section.





Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

Photo Analysis Results						
- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies					
- Percent match among processed faces	100%					
- Total images processed	17					
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)					
- Total pairs of faces compared	13					
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)					



Pre/Post-Test Photo



ID Photo



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



Pre/Post-Test Photo

Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a report to the current values by clicking on 'Recalculate Percentiles' within the online results viewing pages at www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- This assessment makes use of data from the Occupational Information Network (O*NET), which is funded by the U.S. Federal Government - U.S. Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration (USDOL/ETA) - as a primary source of occupational information. The O*NET database contains information on hundreds of standardized and occupation-specific descriptors that are continually updated by ongoing research. These data are used in preparing descriptive information as well as setting relative weights between competencies used in calculating the overall score. For additional information about O*NET, visit http://www.onetcenter.org.
- O*Net Standard Occupational Code (SOC) Used: 43-4081.00
- O*Net Version: 29.2
- Sim ID: 14810-1, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 13, Prd: 5655, Created: 2025-05-11 12:46 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko



Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)			
Adaptability	90.8041	Z-Statistic	1.7203	4.5438			
Analytical Thinking and Attention to Detail	64.0515	Z-Statistic	-0.0632	22.6135			
Customer Service Mindset	87.8721	Z-Statistic	1.5248	4.6471			
Drive	94.7147	Z-Statistic	1.9810	4.6600			
Empathy and Emotional Self-Control	84.4543	Z-Statistic	1.2970	7.0812			
History Survey - Performance	79.2920	Z-Statistic	0.9528	7.0812			
History Survey - Tenure	92.0220	Z-Statistic	1.8015	7.0812			
Hospitality Concepts	93.2837	Z-Statistic	1.8856	28.3249			
Integrity	10.0000	Z-Statistic	-3.6667	4.6600			
Resilience	72.1743	Z-Statistic	0.4783	4.6600			
Teamwork	92.5759	Z-Statistic	1.8384	4.6471			
Weighted Average of Co	mpetency Z-Scores:			0.9849			
Mean applied to Raw Weighted Avg:							
Standard Deviation applied to Raw Weighted Avg:							
Normalized Raw Score:				0.9849			
Mean:				65.0000			
Standard Deviation Used	:			15.0000			
Final Overall Score:				79.7728			



Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)