# Test Results and Interview Guide

Candidate: **Elizabeth Wantsajob**
Assessment: Cook - Fast Food (Short plus Video Interview)
Completed: December 13, 2025
Prepared for: Sara Maple
Example Company

What's Included

- Overall Score
- Competency Summary Table
- Comparison Matrix
- Detailed Competency Results with Interview Guide
- Recorded Audio/Video Results

Important Note: The Cook - Fast Food (Short plus Video Interview) assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.

Overall

Candidate	Score	Interpretation
Elizabeth Wantsajob beth.wantsajob@gmail.com Cook - Fast Food (Short plus Video Interview) December 13, 2025 Summary: Moderate to High Performance Potential	**78**	

Key
- Candidate Score
- Higher Risk
- Lower Risk

Competency Summary

Competency	Score	Interpretation
Cognitive Abilities (relates to job performance, problem-solving, ability to learn, etc.)		
Memory / Attention to Detail / Logic & Reasoning	92	
Multitasking	93	
Skills/Knowledge (relates to immediate readiness)		
Interview Questions	67	
Food Safety Fundamentals	74	
Personality Characteristics (relates to fit with the job/team environment)		
Adaptability / Flexibility	90	
Conscientiousness	84	
Reliability	76	
Service Orientation	76	
Behavioral History (relates to performance and turnover)		
History Survey - Performance	77	
History Survey - Tenure	69	

Importance to Job

Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.

Test-Taker Group	Percentile	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Global	78th											
North America	65th											
United States	65th											
Example Company	72nd											

Artificial Intelligence (AI) Generated Scores

This table includes one or more scores derived from a large language model AI query. AI-derived scores are non-deterministic. That is, they are not precisely repeatable. Therefore, these scores should always be treated as supplementary information and should never be used exclusively or compared to hard cutoff values.

Estimated Value	Score	Confidence	Interpretation
Job Match: Cook - Fast Food (Short plus Video Interview)	68	0.9	(Generic Text for Sample Report) Elizabeth Wantsajob shows strong technical skills directly relevant to the bookkeeping position, with high scores in MS Excel (82.1%), Bookkeeping Fundamentals (65.6%), and Data Entry (67.2%) - all critical for the primary job duties involving accounting software, data entry, and financial record-keeping. Her Attention to Detail score (72.6%) is also favorable for tasks requiring accuracy in figures and document verification. However, she demonstrates significant weaknesses in Adaptability (18.8%) and Resilience (30.2%), which could impact her ability to handle changing priorities and workplace stress. Her moderate scores in Teamwork (42.1%) and Integrity (50.9%) are concerning for a position requiring cooperative working relationships and handling sensitive financial information. Her History Survey scores for Performance (55.9%) and Tenure (40.8%) suggest average past job performance and potential retention concerns. While she has the technical capabilities to perform core bookkeeping functions, her interpersonal and adaptability limitations could affect overall job success, particularly in areas requiring collaboration and handling workplace changes. Computed on: September 28, 2025, 1:37:12PM EDT

hr|avatar
Illuminating Talent

Detail

Candidate: Elizabeth Wantsajob, beth.wantsajob@gmail.com

Assessment: Cook - Fast Food (Short plus Video Interview)

Authorized: December 13, 2025, by Sara Maple, Example Company, qamailsaram.mike@hravatar.com

Started: December 13, 2025, 5:09:16PM EST

Completed: December 13, 2025, 5:09:16PM EST

Overall Score: 78

Cognitive Abilities Detail

This section contains a list of job-related cognitive abilities that have been evaluated in a job-like context using simulation technology. Studies have demonstrated that cognitive abilities are highly correlated with job performance for many jobs. Abilities also correlate with problem-solving and the ability to learn quickly.

Detail	Interview Guide

Memory / Attention to Detail / Logic & Reasoning
Score: 92

Description:

This scale reflects how successful a person is at making sense of facts through logical reasoning. High scorers understand causes and consequences by interpreting a given situation and predicting its outcomes. They are able to remember details and take action accordingly. Low scorers may burn out or become paralyzed. In more stable circumstances, high scorers may become bored, while low scorers would remain satisfied. This scale also represents thoroughness, accuracy, and being concerned for all areas involved no matter how insignificant. Individuals who demonstrate high Attention to Detail produce work that is consistently accurate and require little checking. They rarely forget schedule commitments or overlook even the smallest details.

Interpretation:

High scores in this area correlate with superior performance for many jobs.

High scores in memory, attention to detail and logic indicate the candidate can learn quickly, recall information promptly, solve problems, and adapt to changing conditions rapidly. This usually means the candidate can be expected to respond appropriately to challenging situations with little or no supervision.

Can you give me an example of where your attention to detail or your thoughtful analysis of a situation helped make a project successful?

☆ 1   ☆ 2   ☆ 3   ☆ 4   ☆ 5

1 Poor example. Does not show attention to detail or analytical ability.

3 Moderately relevant or impactful example.

5 Strongly relevant and clear example.

How do you handle a situation when you've messed up due to overlooking an important detail?

☆ 1   ☆ 2   ☆ 3   ☆ 4   ☆ 5

1 Is unable to handle the situation.

3 Demonstrates the ability to admit to their error and quickly fix the error but didn't put preventative systems in place.

5 Demonstrates the ability to admit to their error, put preventative systems in place, and quickly fix the error.

Detail	Interview Guide

Multitasking

Score: 93

Description:

This assessment evaluates an individual's ability to multitask while performing simple tasks and simultaneously listening to stories, with an emphasis on maintaining attention and processing information effectively.

Interpretation:

High scores in this area correlate with superior performance for many jobs.

Scores indicate a very strong ability to listen and comprehend a story while completing tasks simultaneously. The candidate is highly likely to excel in roles that require multitasking.

Can you tell me about any experience or education you may have that helped you develop your Multitasking ability?

| ☆	☆	☆	☆	☆
1	2	3	4	5
No relevant experience or education		Some relevance		Directly relevant experience or education.

Knowledge and Skills Detail

This section contains a list of job-related knowledge areas and skills that have been evaluated. Low scores in these areas often indicate that additional learning may be required before top performance can be achieved.

Detail	Interview Guide

Interview Questions

Score: 67

Description:

A customized series of open-ended video-response questions were asked. Results include the video responses themselves for viewing, as well as transcripts, text analysis, and voice analysis. Text analysis includes vocabulary and grammar. Voice analysis includes perceived voice intonation and other speaking quality factors.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Text and voice analysis indicates above average quality to open-ended responses.

Overall AI Score:	68.0
Approximate Word Count:	147
AI Confidence Level:	83
Argument Strength (AI):	63.0
Clarity and Coherence (AI):	73.0

Please see below to view the converted text from the voice sample that was collected.

Detail	Interview Guide

Food Safety Fundamentals

Score: 74

Description:

Evaluates the candidate's knowledge of Food Safety principles and practices, with an aim to determine the degree of training that will be required before the candidate can be expected to become productive.

Interpretation:

Candidate should achieve above average job performance in this area with little or no training.

Scores indicate good working knowledge of Food Safety principles and practices. Candidate is likely ready to be productive with very little basic training or with immediate entry into advanced training.

Tell me about a project or task where your knowledge of Food Safety was required for success. How did it go?

1	2	3	4	5
Example didn't require or demonstrate knowledge.		Knowledge was only moderately important or moderately demonstrated in example.		Clearly relevant application and demonstration of knowledge.

Personality Characteristics Detail

This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Detail	Interview Guide

Adaptability / Flexibility

Score: 90

Description:

This scale reflects how accepting a person is of frequent or substantial changes in his or her job requirements and how they adjust to those changes. Changing work requirements usually causes stress and puts pressure on an individual to adapt. High scorers usually thrive under changing work conditions, while low scorers may burn out or become paralyzed. In more stable job circumstances, high scorers may become bored, while low scorers would remain satisfied.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Thrives on change. Able to remain focused and positive in times of significant change. Sees self as very flexible and easy-going. However, they may often be perceived as too easy-going under certain circumstances.

Describe a time where you had to adapt to some significant changes at work. How did you feel? How did you handle the change?

1	2	3	4	5
Feelings: Strong Dislike or Very ResistantWeren't able to handle the change or needed significant help.		Feelings: Unfazed or Slightly ResistantHandled the situation & change only impacted their work in a minor way.		Feelings: Excited or ComfortableHandled the situation well and in a way that didn't interfere with their work.

How do you feel when things change at work? How do you cope?

1	2	3	4	5
Candidate gets frustrated and doesn't have an effective way to cope.		Candidate recognizes that they struggle and has one quality way to cope.		Candidate thrives when things change and has multiple ways to cope.

Detail	Interview Guide

Conscientiousness

Score: 84

Description:

This scale reflects the amount of pride a person takes in producing quality work products. Additionally, it demonstrates the degree to which an individual will work hard to achieve goals and solve critical problems in the organization. High scores on this scale indicate a person will be diligent in their work and use all necessary sources to solve problems. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may be unenthusiastic about work and may struggle with complex tasks and challenges.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Takes significant pride in performing quality work. Highly motivated by challenging goals and tasks, financial rewards, and/or recognition, and willing to work very hard to succeed. Very focused on understanding guidelines, following the rules and personal achievement.

Describe a time when you had some extra time available at work. How did you use this extra time?

☆	☆	☆	☆	☆
1	2	3	4	5
Did not use their time in a beneficial way, or in a way that added value to the organization.		Used their time in a work related way, but those efforts didn't demonstrate hard work or added value (easy).		Used time in a beneficial way and added value to the organization. Showed ability to work hard and willingness to put in extra effort.

How do you react when you are faced with obstacles while trying to achieve a goal? How do you overcome them?

| ☆	☆	☆	☆	☆
1	2	3	4	5
Reaction: Overwhelmed Struggles to come up with a clear way to overcome the obstacle and doesn't demonstrate hard work.		Reaction: ready but not excitedCandidate shows they are able to overcome the obstacle but only by doing the bare minimum.		Reaction: excited and readyCandidate shows they are able to overcome the obstacle by putting in extra effort and being diligent.

| Detail | Interview Guide |

Reliability

Score: 76

Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual is able to be trusted and maintain consistent quality performance. High scores on this scale indicate a person who can be trusted to do what they say, always follows through, and never breaks their promises. Low scores on this scale indicate a person who would likely brush off timelines and responsibilities, and is known for being inconsistent.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Responses indicate the candidate is trustworthy and likely to show consistent performance. Can be expected to meet commitments and to earn the respect of peers in doing so. Able to form mutually supportive work relationships while reducing potential for workplace conflict.

How would you describe a reliable employee?

☆	☆	☆	☆	☆
1	2	3	4	5
Candidate's description does not match what the organization envisions.		Candidate's description is vague and standard, but matches what the organization envisions.		Candidate's description is detailed and matches what the organization envisions.

Describe a time when you were unable to follow through on a promise. How did you handle that situation? Why didn't you follow through?

| ☆	☆	☆	☆	☆
1	2	3	4	5
Candidate was unable to follow through due to circumstances under their control. They did nothing to correct the situation.		Candidate was unable to follow through due to circumstances under their control. They apologized and regained the trust back.		Candidate was unable to follow through due to circumstances out of their control. They apologized and regained the trust back.

Service Orientation

Score: 76

Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual recognizes and meets customers' needs. High scores on this scale indicate a person who makes themself available for others and cares about them. They show a level of understanding, dedication, and the ability to be proactive. Low scores on this scale indicate a person who has difficulty recognizing the needs of others, often preoccupied with their personal needs, and may find some customers to be unreasonable.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Holds an above average desire to understand customer needs and do what it takes to resolve customer issues. Likely to deliver very good customer service that improves customer satisfaction and customer relationships and positively impacts the reputation of the organization. Demonstrates an above average level of understanding, dedication, and the ability to be proactive.

What does customer service mean to you?

☆	☆	☆	☆	☆
1	2	3	4	5
Their meaning is unrelated to the role or doesn't show meaning at all.		Their meaning is something related to the role but not meaningful, more of a standard answer.		Their meaning is something related to the role and is meaningful.

What is your experience with multitasking? How were you able to handle doing multiple things at once?

| ☆	☆	☆	☆	☆
1	2	3	4	5
Has no or minimal multitasking experience. Is unable to handle doing multiple things at once.		Has experience multitasking. Uses one quality skill to handle doing multiple things at once.		Has a lot of experience multitasking. Uses multiple quality skills to handle doing multiple things at once.

Behavioral History Detail

This section evaluates answers the candidate gave concerning his or her work-related history. Studies often show that a candidate''s past behavior often indicates his or her future behavior. Potential caution areas (if any) are specified in each detail section.

Detail	Interview Guide

History Survey - Performance
Score: 77

Description:
Evaluates elements of the candidate's past work and education history to identify indications of high or low performance potential.

Interpretation:
The candidate's score indicates past behaviors that contribute to above average job performance.

Exhibits past behaviors and achievements that are likely to result in above average job performance.

The following potential performance risk areas were identified:

Below average productivity history

Below average performance reviews

Further probing is recommended for each of these items.

How does your work compare with your peers? Do you produce more or less? How do you know?

☆ 1 ☆ 2 ☆ 3 ☆ 4 ☆ 5

What kind of feedback have you received about your performance from your managers and your peers?

☆ 1 ☆ 2 ☆ 3 ☆ 4 ☆ 5

History Survey - Tenure
Score: 69

Description:
Evaluates a candidate's past employment history and related factors for indications of potentially low job tenure.

Interpretation:
The candidate's score indicates past behaviors that contribute to above average job performance.

Exhibits behaviors likely to result in slightly longer than average job tenure.

The following potential performance risk areas were identified:

Frequent job changes

Potential long commute

Further probing is recommended for each of these items.

Review your last few jobs with me, explaining why you left the old job and what attracted you to the new one.

☆ 1 ☆ 2 ☆ 3 ☆ 4 ☆ 5

What is the longest distance you have had to commute to work? What did you do during the commute? How long did you keep that job?

☆ 1 ☆ 2 ☆ 3 ☆ 4 ☆ 5

Audio/Video Responses

During the assessment, the candidate was asked to answer open-ended answer to one or more questions by either audio or video. If the candidate did not have the ability to upload audio or video they were asked to write their responses. The text of their responses as well as any included analysis of their speaking or text is provided below. Additionally, you can click on the links below (or cut and past into a web browser) to view or listen to their response directly.

Question	Response
Explain why it is so important to provide good customer service in business.	The most important reason to provide good customer service is to ensure that your customers have a great experience and they want to come back the next time they need to purchase your product. Repeat business is absolutely crucial to starting in small businesses as well as large businesses. The cost of acquiring a new customer is very high, and if you give your new customers a bad experience, they won't come back. That really is no way to run a business. So it's very important that you invest in good customer service right from the beginning. People, uh, and customers are not that forgiving. If they have a bad experience, they're not going to say, well, they're just getting started. I can forgive them this time. You need to be good right from the outset and show them that you care. The most important reason, I say again, is so you get repeat business.

Comments (AI): The essay presents a clear and logical argument for the importance of good customer service in business, with a focus on repeat business. The author effectively explains the high cost of acquiring new customers and the importance of providing a positive experience to encourage repeat business. The essay could be improved by providing more specific examples or data to support the argument. Clarity and Coherence: 80.0, Argument Strength: 70.0

 View this video recording:

| Describe your dream vacation and explain why it would special for you. | My favorite vacation would probably be a trip to the mountains in the winter time to go skiing for at least 3 or 4 days in a row at a great mountain with good snow. There's just nothing like the feeling you get when you're skiing through 10 to 20 inches of deep powder. It's fun. Uh, everyone around you is happy. The mood on the entire mountain is positive, and you can't help but feel good. Plus you get a really good workout and so at the end of the day, you feel good about yourself. I've done that many times, and I'd have to say I would keep going back every chance I can get. I love to go skiing because it makes you feel so good when it's a beautiful day and the conditions are right. |

Comments (AI): The essay provides a clear description of the dream vacation and explains why it would be special. However, the argument strength could be improved by providing more details and specific examples to make the essay more persuasive. Additionally, the essay could benefit from some minor revisions to improve clarity and coherence.

 View this video recording:

Voice Analysis Information

Spoken voice samples are processed through an artificial intelligence-based algorithm to determine how the speakers voice and speaking style is perceived by others. The following statistics and ratings were collected as part of this analysis and these were used in calculating the related competency scores.

Voice Analysis Info used in scoring: Interview Questions

General Speaking Features

Strength of Opening	42	Weak	Strong
Clarity	90	Muffled	Clear
Pace	Too Much	Too Slow	Too Fast
Pause to Talk Ratio	Too Little	Too Few/Short	Too Many/Long

Variety Features

Volume Variety	Very Good	Too Little	Too Much
Pace Variety	Too Much	Too Little	Too Much
Pitch Variety	Very Good	Too Little	Too Much

Positive Vibes

Assertive	45	Low	High (good)
Authentic	53	Low	High (good)
Captivating	41	Low	High (good)
Clear	57	Low	High (good)
Confident	54	Low	High (good)
Energetic	47	Low	High (good)
Organized	55	Low	High (good)
Personable	47	Low	High (good)
Persuasive	46	Low	High (good)

Negative Vibes

Arrogant	16	Low (good)	High
Belligerent	14	Low (good)	High
Boring	45	Low (good)	High
Condescending	15	Low (good)	High
Confusing	26	Low (good)	High
Detached	35	Low (good)	High
Ditsy	13	Low (good)	High
Nervous	24	Low (good)	High
Pushy	16	Low (good)	High
Timid	23	Low (good)	High
Unapproachable	26	Low (good)	High

Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

Photo Analysis Results	
- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies
- Percent match among processed faces	100%
- Total images processed	17
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)
- Total pairs of faces compared	13
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)

Pre/Post-Test Photo

ID Photo

In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)

In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)

In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)

In-Test Photo

In-Test Photo

In-Test Photo

In-Test Photo

Pre/Post-Test Photo

Individual Responses Information

The following table provides question by question response information.

Question	Candidate Response Information
Interview Questions (Response(s) Selected/Entered by Candidate)	Question / Response(s) Selected/Entered by Candidate
Sample Report Video 1	

Resume or CV

Summary — Updated on

Motivated career professional with extensive experience in office administration and management. Proven track record of improving efficiency, reducing costs, and enhancing office operations through strategic initiatives and technology implementation.

Objective

I am seeking a role where I can use my many skills and my exceptional judgment and empathy for customers to make a difference to a growing company.

Education

- Associate of Applied Science in Office Administration, Portland Community College, 2020

Experience

- General Office Clerk, Paramount Office Management, 09/2023 – Present
- Administrative Assistant, Global Enterprises Inc., 04/2021 – 08/2023
- Administrative Assistant, Innovative Business Solutions Ltd., 07/2019 – 03/2021

Other Qualifications

- Microsoft Office Specialist (MOS) Certification
- Certified Administrative Professional (CAP)
- International Association of Administrative Professionals (IAAP) Certification

Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a report to the current values by clicking on 'Recalculate Percentiles' within the online results viewing pages at www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 - 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 - 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- This assessment makes use of data from the Occupational Information Network (O*NET), which is funded by the U.S. Federal Government - U.S. Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration (USDOL/ETA) - as a primary source of occupational information. The O*NET database contains information on hundreds of standardized and occupation-specific descriptors that are continually updated by ongoing research. These data are used in preparing descriptive information as well as setting relative weights between competencies used in calculating the overall score. For additional information about O*NET, visit http://www.onetcenter.org.
- O*Net Standard Occupational Code (SOC) Used: 35-2011.00
- O*Net Version: 29.2
- Sim ID: 14853-2, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 70, Prd: 5698, Created: 2025-12-13 17:09 EST
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko

Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)
Adaptability / Flexibility	90.0060	Z-Statistic	1.6671	4.9645
Conscientiousness	84.8812	Z-Statistic	1.3254	5.3649
Food Safety Fundamentals	74.3673	Z-Statistic	0.6245	29.2837
Interview Questions	67.5364	Z-Statistic	0.1691	45.7558
Memory / Attention to Detail / Logic & Reasoning	92.7538	Z-Statistic	1.8503	4.5620
Multitasking	93.3769	Not used in Overall	0.0000	0.0000
Reliability	76.6289	Z-Statistic	0.7753	5.5451
Service Orientation	76.0384	Z-Statistic	0.7359	4.5241
Weighted Average of Competency Z-Scores:				0.5748
Mean applied to Raw Weighted Avg:				0.0000
Standard Deviation applied to Raw Weighted Avg:				1.0000
Normalized Raw Score:				0.5748
Mean:				65.0000
Standard Deviation Used:				15.0000
Final Overall Score:				73.6220

Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)