

Candidate: **Betty Penske** Assessment: Graphic Designer Completed: May 9, 2024 Prepared for: Susan Bookman HR Avatar Data Collection Account

Test Results and Interview Guide

The Graphic Designer assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.

Proprietary and Confidential

Overall

Candidate	Score			Interpr	etatior	۱	
Betty Penske	68	0	20	40	60	80	100
bettypenske@yourcompany.org Graphic Designer May 9, 2024		U	20	4U	ΒU	80	100
Summary: Moderate to High Performance Potential		Ke	y				
 Potential Risk Areas Low Integrity score could indicate potential issues v 	vith reliability.		Hi Lo	ndidate S gher Risk wer Risk Istom Bas		tional)	

Competency Summary

Competency	Score		Interpretation				
Cognitive Abilities (relates to job performance, problem-solving	g, ability to learn, etc.)						
Analytical Thinking and Attention to Detail	74	0	20	40	60	80	100
Personality Characteristics (relates to fit with the job/team env	vironment)						
Adaptability	69	0	20	40	60	80	100
Drive	76	0	20	40	60	80	100
Integrity	10	0	20	40	60	80	100
Resilience	68		20	40	60	80	100
Teamwork	86	0	20	40	60	80	100
Behavioral History (relates to performance and turnover)		0	20	40	00	00	100
History Survey - Performance	76	0	20	40	60	80	100
History Survey - Tenure	79	0	20	40	60	80	100
motional Intelligence (relates to situational judgment, perform	nance and teamwork)						
Empathy and Emotional Self-Control	64	0	20	40	60	80	100

Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.


Test-Taker Group	Percentile	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Global	68th			-						1		
United States	57th									1	l I	
HR Avatar Data	63rd									1		



Candidate:	Betty Penske, bettypenske@yourcompany.org
Assessment:	Graphic Designer
Authorized:	May 9, 2024, by Susan Bookman, HR Avatar Data Collection Account, sue.bookman@richardson.biz
Started:	May 9, 2024, 3:47:38PM EST
Completed:	May 9, 2024, 3:47:38PM EST
Overall Score:	68

Cognitive Abilities Detail


This section contains a list of job-related cognitive abilities that have been evaluated in a job-like context using HR Avatar's simulation technology. Studies have demonstrated that cognitive abilities are highly correlated with job performance for many jobs. Abilities also correlate with problem-solving and the ability to learn quickly.




Personality Characteristics Detail

This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Continued on next page.





This scale reflects the degree to which an individual acts positively towards the organization, avoids unnecessary risk, and, simply put, does the right thing. High scores on this scale indicate a person will act in the organization's best interest, follow the rules, and work hard under limited supervision. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may engage in risk-taking behaviors, work to undermine the organization, and only do the bare minimum.


Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area indicates risk of a negative impact on performance for some jobs. Additional probing is strongly recommended.

Distrusts the organization and management. Frequently assumes new ideas or changes will have a negative individual impact. Can be defensive regarding his or her own work, or show hostility towards management or company policies. May take unnecessary risks on the job.

Interview Guide

What circumstance(s) might cause you to withhold information from your supervisor? How would you judge whether doing so would be justified? $\overrightarrow{}$ $\widehat{\mathbf{v}}$ $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$ $\widehat{\mathbf{v}}$ Ŵ 1 2 3 5 4 Shows that they Explains only situational Explains only are not concerned circumstances. situational about ethics or Judgement does not circumstances, or organizational stem from an ethical no circumstances. values/rules. standpoint. Judgement stems from ethical standards. Do you think it's ever okay to withhold information from your supervisor? How would you judge whether doing so is okay or not? T Ŷ T Ŷ Ŵ 1 2 3 4 5 Answer shows that Explains only situational Explains only they are not circumstances. situational concerned about Judgement does not circumstances, or ethics or stem from an ethical no circumstances. standpoint. organizational Judgement stems values/rules. from ethical standards.



This scale reflects the degree to which an individual can withstand adversity, bounce back from difficult events, and be persistent in doing work tasks despite difficulties that come their way. High scores on this scale indicate a person will likely be able to deal with difficult situations with ease, perceive they have control over events in their life, and continue to push forward to achieve goals. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may claim that mistakes/failures were out of their control. Low scorers tend to not cope well with on-the-job stress and don't put in the extra effort to achieve success when obstacles come their way.

Please note that resilience is not a fixed trait. Unlike many other personality characteristics, resilience can be developed over time. Additionally, multiple factors can influence how resilient a person is within a specific situation. In recognition of these features, additional probing using suggested interview questions is strongly recommended.

Interpretation:


The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Responses indicate that the candidate can effectively work through difficulties at work by exhibiting positive emotions and the ability to take control of events. Candidate can likely push forward to achieve their goals, even when obstacles come their way.

Interview Guide

did you feel? How did it impact your work moving forward? $\widehat{\mathbf{v}}$ $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$ $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$ Ŵ Ŵ 2 1 3 4 5 Feelings had a Feelings are true to the Feelings are true to negative outlook. situation. Event the situation but Event impacted impacted their work in a with a positive their work in a negative way but were outlook. The event negative way, they able to learn from it and impacted their weren't able to persevere. work in a positive way or didn't learn from it or impact their work persevere. at all. How do you normally react to bad news? T T T T 1 2 3 Δ 5 They get upset and They get upset, however They are able to don't know how to they see the positive see the positive work through the outlook and have a plan outlook in the long challenges. to fix the challenges. run and it doesn't impact their work.

Describe a time when something at work/school didn't go as planned. How



Behavioral History Detail

This section evaluates answers the candidate gave concerning his or her work-related history. Studies often show that a candidate''s past behavior often indicates his or her future behavior. Potential caution areas (if any) are specified in each detail section.

Detail	Interview Guide	9				
History Survey - Performance Score: 76	How does your less? How do y		with your peers	? Do you produ	ce more or	
0 20 40 60 80 100		5	5	5	A Company	
Description:	1	2	3	4	5	
Evaluates elements of the candidate's past work and education history to identify indications of high or low performance potential.			-			
Interpretation: The candidate's score indicates past behaviors	What kind of feedback have you received about your performance from your managers and your peers?					
that contribute to above average job performance.		\checkmark			\checkmark	
Exhibits past behaviors and achievements that are likely to result in above average job performance.	1	2	3	4	5	
The following potential performance risk areas were identified:						
 Below average productivity history Below average performance reviews Further probing is recommended for each of these items. 						



Score: 79

Description:

low job tenure. Interpretation:

performance.

were identified:

these items.

• Frequent job changes Potential long commute

20

40

Evaluates a candidate's past employment history

and related factors for indications of potentially

The candidate's score indicates past behaviors

Exhibits behaviors likely to result in slightly

The following potential performance risk areas

Further probing is recommended for each of

that contribute to above average job

longer than average job tenure.

60

0

Interview Guide History Survey - Tenure

100

80

Review your last few jobs with me, explaining why you left the old job and what attracted you to the new one.



What is the longest distance you have had to commute to work? What did you do during the commute? How long did you keep that job?

\checkmark		Δ	Δ	Δ
1	2	3	4	5

Emotional Intelligence Detail

This section contains a list of emotional intelligence characteristics that indicate how tuned in a candidate is to his or her own emotions, and those of others, as well as the candidate's ability to control his or her behavior in light of the emotions he or she is experiencing. These traits can often impact performance in groups or teams. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Continued on next page.



0

others.

Interpretation:

performance.

workplace.

Description:



40

understand other people's feelings, feel

restraint and managing behaviors to ensure

appropriate and effective interactions with

The candidate's score in this area indicates neither a positive nor a negative impact on


them, maintaining current levels of customer

60

80

20

Interview Guide





Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

Photo Analysis Results	
- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies
- Percent match among processed faces	100%
- Total images processed	17
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)
- Total pairs of faces compared	13
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)



Pre/Post-Test Photo



ID Photo



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



Pre/Post-Test Photo

Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review, interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average) and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria. However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time the
 assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can always update a
 report to the current values by clicking on "Recalculate Percentiles" within the online results viewing pages at
 www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- This assessment makes use of data from the Occupational Information Network (O*NET), which is funded by the U.S.
 Federal Government U.S. Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration (USDOL/ETA) as a primary source of occupational information. The O*NET database contains information on hundreds of standardized and occupation-specific descriptors that are continually updated by ongoing research. These data are used in preparing descriptive information as well as setting relative weights between competencies used in calculating the overall score. For additional information about O*NET, visit http://www.onetcenter.org.
- O*Net Standard Occupational Code (SOC) Used: 27-1024.00
- O*Net Version: 26.3
- Sim ID: 15183-2, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 13, Prd: 6028, Created: 2024-05-09 20:47 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko

0.0000

1.0000

0.2631

65.0000

15.0000

68.9467

Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)		
Adaptability	69.6602	Z-Statistic	0.3107	6.8387		
Analytical Thinking and Attention to Detail	74.3614	Z-Statistic	0.6241	33.0944		
Drive	76.7230	Z-Statistic	0.7815	7.4962		
Empathy and Emotional Self-Control	64.4596	Z-Statistic	-0.0360	10.0200		
History Survey - Performance	76.5746	Z-Statistic	0.7716	10.0200		
History Survey - Tenure	79.5820	Z-Statistic	0.9721	10.0200		
Integrity	10.0000	Z-Statistic	-3.6667	8.3511		
Resilience	68.4636	Z-Statistic	0.2309	7.4962		
Teamwork	86.2787	Z-Statistic	1.4186	6.6633		
Weighted Average of Competency Z-Scores: 0.2631						

Mean applied to Raw Weighted Avg:

Standard Deviation applied to Raw Weighted Avg:

Normalized Raw Score:

Mean:

Standard Deviation Used:

Final Overall Score:



Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)